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Summary

Climate change is affecting the risk from infectious diseases. There is evidence that the recent emergence of Lyme disease in Canada has been driven by climate warming, making more of Canada suitable for the ticks that carry the disease. Emergence of other insect-borne diseases, such as eastern equine encephalitis, could have been facilitated by a warming climate, and epidemics of West Nile virus infection have likely been driven by variability in weather and climate, which will increase with climate change. The risk from a very wide range of other infectious diseases is also known to be sensitive to weather and climate. Changes to geographic and seasonal patterns of these diseases in North America, and increased risk of importation of climate-sensitive diseases from further afield, are likely to pose increased risks to Canadians in coming decades. Adaptation measures include assessments of risk and vulnerability, integrated surveillance and early warning systems using emerging technologies, and a “One Health” approach that integrates human, animal, and environmental health.

Key Messages

• Under climate change, many diseases considered “climate-sensitive” are more likely to emerge or re-emerge globally and in Canada. These diseases include those transmitted by arthropod vectors (such as West Nile virus, Lyme disease), those directly transmitted from animals (zoonoses such as rabies, hantavirus pulmonary syndrome), those directly transmitted human-to-human (such as seasonal influenza, enterovirus infections), and those that can be acquired by inhalation from environmental sources (such as Cryptococcus infection, Legionnaires’ disease).

• Infectious diseases new to Canada may spread northward from the United States, and from elsewhere in the world, carried by people and goods, or by wild animals. The indirect socio-economic effects of climate change may affect the capacity of nations to prevent and control infectious diseases globally, increasing the likelihood that new diseases will come into Canada through human travel and migration.

• Climate change is expected to make the Canadian environment more suitable for arthropod vectors (such as mosquitoes and ticks) and transmission of new infectious diseases. For example, mosquito-borne diseases already in Canada such as West Nile virus, which usually cause a limited number of infections each year, may produce epidemics under a more variable climate with more frequent extreme weather events.

• Potential effects of climate change on infectious diseases are identified by modelling studies, while disease surveillance has identified changes in occurrence of infectious diseases, and in some cases linked these changes to recent effects of climate change. These studies are largely restricted to diseases that humans acquire from arthropod vectors (insects and ticks) and directly from animals.
• Canada has high adaptive capacity to cope with infectious diseases given its robust national public health surveillance and response tied into national and international networks, a strong health system, and capacity for technological innovations. Canada is also a leader in “One Health” approaches that consider human, animal, and environmental factors together, using knowledge from many disciplines and sectors. Such approaches are essential to planning for emerging and re-emerging infectious diseases, including those related to climate change.

• Canada is also increasing its capacity to respond to effects of climate change on infectious diseases. This capacity will be enhanced by big data and modern genomic technologies, Earth observation from satellites, web crawling, and “citizen science” approaches to surveillance for climate change impacts on infectious diseases.
Components of vulnerability to infectious diseases in the context of climate change. The three intersecting components of risk are hazard, contact rate (which, with hazard, determines exposure), and sensitivity. Adaptation (represented by the blue background disc) depends on our capacity to minimize, and respond to changes in, each of these three components of risk. Green arrows show direct and indirect effects of climate change.
### Overview of the Impacts of Climate Change on Infectious Diseases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HEALTH IMPACT OR HAZARD CATEGORY</th>
<th>CLIMATE-RELATED CAUSES</th>
<th>POSSIBLE HEALTH EFFECTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Infectious diseases</td>
<td>• Faster reproduction rates and greater survival, leading to increased abundance and geographic range of vectors found in Canada&lt;br&gt;• Effects of weather variability and extreme weather events on reproduction rates and survival of mosquitoes that lead to rapid changes in populations&lt;br&gt;• Faster reproduction rates and greater survival of exotic vectors once they are carried into Canada, making it more likely that exotic vectors and the diseases they carry (pathogens) can become established&lt;br&gt;• Faster development of pathogens in mosquito vectors</td>
<td>• Increased incidence of Canada-endemic vector-borne diseases (e.g., Lyme disease, West Nile virus infection, eastern equine encephalitis)&lt;br&gt;• Increased epidemics of Canada-endemic mosquito-borne diseases (West Nile virus infection, eastern equine encephalitis, California serogroup viruses)&lt;br&gt;• Spread of US-endemic tick-borne (e.g., monocytic ehrlichiosis) and mosquito-borne (e.g., La Crosse virus infection) diseases into Canada&lt;br&gt;• Increasing risk of autochthonous transmission of tropical/subtropical <em>Aedes</em> mosquito-borne diseases (dengue, chikungunya, Zika)&lt;br&gt;• Impacts on health services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infectious directly transmitted</td>
<td>• Changes to rates of reproduction and survival of wild animal reservoir hosts and other species resulting in changes in geographic ranges and levels of hazard for, and contact rates with, humans&lt;br&gt;• Effects of weather on reproduction rates and survival of species such as rodents that can lead to rapid changes in their abundance</td>
<td>• Changes (increases in some locations, decreases in others) in geographic and temporal patterns of risk of directly transmitted zoonotic diseases (such as rabies, brucellosis)&lt;br&gt;• Possible increasing frequency of outbreaks of some rodent-borne diseases (such as hantavirus pulmonary syndrome)&lt;br&gt;• Impacts on health services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>by animals (zoonotic diseases)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HEALTH IMPACT OR HAZARD CATEGORY</th>
<th>CLIMATE-RELATED CAUSES</th>
<th>POSSIBLE HEALTH EFFECTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Infectious diseases acquired by inhalation from environmental sources | • Possible increased survival and reproduction of fungi in soils and other land-based environments  
• Possible increase in multiplication of *Legionella* bacteria due to increased use of air conditioning | • Increased incidence, and changing geographic ranges of air-borne and aerosolized fungal infections (such as cryptococcosis)  
• Increased outbreaks of legionellosis  
• Impacts on health services |
| Emerging infectious diseases | • Climate-change induced changes to the ecology of zoonotic diseases globally increases the possibility of emergence, spillover into humans and spread to Canada  
• Increased international travel, including human population migration, enhances global spread of zoonotic diseases that are also capable of human-to-human transmission | • Increased likelihood and frequency of epidemics and pandemics (such as SARS and COVID-19) including water-borne, food-borne, vector-borne, and zoonotic infectious diseases  
• Increased transmission of pathogens and infectious diseases, potentially leading to not only physical health impacts, but also impacts on mental, spiritual, and psychological health  
• Impacts on health services |
List of Acronyms

CSGV  California serogroup viruses
CVV   Cache Valley virus
EEEV  eastern equine encephalitis virus
EIP   extrinsic incubation period
GCM   global climate models
GHG   greenhouse gas
GOARN Global Outbreak Alert and Response Network
GPHIN Global Public Health Intelligence Network
HFMD  hand-foot-and-mouth disease
IHR   International Health Regulations
JCV   Jamestown Canyon virus
JE    Japanese encephalitis
LACV  La Crosse encephalitis virus
MCDA  multi-criteria decision analysis
RCP   representative concentration pathways
RMSF  Rocky Mountain spotted fever
RRA   rapid risk assessment
RVFV  Rift Valley fever virus
SINV  Sindbis virus
SLEV  St. Louis encephalitis virus
SSHV  Snowshoe Hare virus
USUV  Usutu virus
VEE   Venezuelan equine encephalitis
WGS   whole genome sequencing
WHO   World Health Organization
WNV   West Nile virus
YF    yellow fever
6.1 Introduction

Infectious diseases continue to emerge and re-emerge globally, illustrated by epidemics and pandemics of new and existing diseases, such as coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) caused by the SARS-CoV-2 coronavirus, Ebola in Africa, Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection in the Middle East, and Zika, chikungunya, yellow fever, and dengue virus infections in the Americas. Many infectious diseases are climate-sensitive; as a result, transmission of these diseases may be affected directly or indirectly by climate change. In Canada, it is expected that current infectious disease risks will increase and new diseases will emerge as the climate warms, causing concern for human health. This chapter considers the impacts of climate change on risks from infectious diseases of importance for public health in Canada. It expands on previous national climate change and health assessments to identify the state of knowledge of current and projected risks from infectious diseases, assesses vulnerability, and identifies where public health efforts may be required to protect the Canadian population. Infectious diseases related to the impacts of climate change on pathogen transmission in water and food are discussed in Chapter 7: Water Quality, Quantity, and Security, and Chapter 8: Food Safety and Security, respectively.

The demographic makeup of Canadians is changing, with an aging population (Statistics Canada, 2016), and more people affected by chronic illnesses, both of which can change the sensitivity of people to infectious disease hazards (Dye, 2014). In this chapter, risk is considered to have two main components: likelihood of exposure and sensitivity (i.e., severity of outcome), while the vulnerability of the population is considered to be the risk combined with the adaptive capacity (Figure 6.1). This is similar to other versions in the literature of the relationship between risk and vulnerability, where vulnerability is a component of risk that combines sensitivity, exposure, and adaptive capacity of the human population (IPCC, 2014). Exposure likelihood depends on the level of hazard, which is the number of infective organisms (i.e., infective humans, microorganisms, arthropod vectors, animal reservoir hosts) in an individual’s environment, and the rate of contact of uninfected humans with the hazard (Figure 6.1). In the context of infectious diseases, adaptive capacity is the capacity of public health systems to identify, prevent, and control disease, and of health systems to minimize the impact of disease through rapid and effective treatment. Climate change may affect each of the components of vulnerability through direct effects on the existence and level of hazard, indirect effects on rates of contact with the hazard, by increasing or decreasing population sensitivity, and by affecting adaptive capacity itself (Figure 6.1).
6.1.1 Infectious Disease Emergence and Re-Emergence

Infectious diseases emerge through changes in their geographic ranges, "spillover," and "adaptive emergence." Spillover is when some environmental or socio-economic change allows an animal pathogen already transmissible to humans to come into contact with humans (e.g., Nipah virus). Adaptive emergence is due to genetic change in a micro-organism infecting animals, usually wildlife, that results in it becoming transmissible to humans from the animal (i.e., it becomes a zoonosis) and perhaps transmissible among humans (e.g., SARS-CoV) (Ogden et al., 2017).
There are multiple drivers of disease emergence, which include those associated with globalization and environment. These include climate change, social and demographic changes, and changes in public health systems and policies (Semenza et al., 2016). Endemic diseases can re-emerge (i.e., increase in incidence, or resurge as epidemics) through the same drivers. Climate change may directly affect infectious disease emergence and re-emergence through effects on the survival of pathogens, on survival and reproduction rates of arthropod vectors (e.g., mosquitoes, ticks, and fleas), and, in the case of zoonoses, on the abundance of animal reservoir hosts. These are factors that determine the potential for a pathogen to propagate among humans or, for zoonoses, animal hosts, which is described by the basic reproduction number $R_0$. If $R_0$ is one or higher (in which case one infection results in at least one more infection), the pathogen may persist and spread, but if $R_0$ is less than one, it will die out (Anderson & May, 1991). The direct effects of climate change that cause disease emergence in a particular location are effectively those that change $R_0$ from less than one to one or higher in that location.

Climate change may have indirect impacts on disease emergence and re-emergence by affecting other environmental and social changes, and by reducing the ability of public health systems to respond (e.g., extreme weather events may disrupt public health capacity to control disease outbreaks). The effects of climate change on ecosystems, including on biodiversity, may alter the hazards posed by zoonoses through complex effects on wildlife communities (Altizer et al., 2013; Cable et al., 2017). Other changes that can affect disease emergence and re-emergence may be related to public health adaptation initiatives to reduce broader health risks of climate change. For example, efforts to reduce heat islands in urban areas through the greening of cities (Beaudoin & Gosselin, 2016) and actions to manage floods (see Chapter 3: Natural Hazards) may increase zoonosis hazards from wildlife and vector-borne diseases (Medlock & Vaux, 2011; Millins et al., 2017). Increased use of air conditioning to combat urban heat could increase risks of legionellosis (Fitzhenry et al., 2017).

Climate change may have negative impacts on economies, particularly those of low- and middle-income countries, which could directly, or indirectly through an increase in conflicts, reduce infectious disease control and contribute to increasing densities of infectious agents (Ogden, 2017). Economic impacts and dislocation may simultaneously drive increased economic or refugee migration, increasing importation of infectious diseases to Canada from abroad (Ogden, 2017). In addition, if health systems are not climate-resilient (e.g., resilient to outages of power and communication systems associated with extreme weather events) (see Chapter 10: Adaptation and Health System Resilience), impacts may reduce the capacity to detect and respond to emerging or re-emerging infectious diseases (Mayhew & Hanefeld, 2014; Ebi et al., 2018; Global Commission on Adaptation, 2019). The range of projected climate change includes long-term changes in temperature and precipitation patterns, increased climate variability, and increased frequency of extreme weather events, which will vary among geographic regions in Canada (Bush & Lemmen, 2019). These changes will directly and indirectly affect different infectious disease risks idiosyncratically (Ogden & Lindsay, 2016).

### 6.1.2 Infectious Diseases in Previous Climate Change and Health Assessments

Since 2008, there have been six national, regional, or international assessments on the effects of climate change on infectious disease risks and vulnerability (Table 6.1). There were two assessments in Canada — one that
focused on health in 2008 (Charron et al., 2008) and one that included health in a broader Government of Canada report on climate change impacts and adaptation in 2014 (Berry et al., 2014a). International assessments relevant to Canada included chapters on health and on North America from the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) (Romero-Lankao et al., 2014; Smith et al., 2014) and national assessments in the United States (Beard et al., 2016; Ebi et al., 2018).

All assessments identified arthropod-borne diseases (i.e., those transmitted by arthropods such as mosquitoes, ticks, and fleas) as most climate-sensitive, with climate determining the occurrence and abundance via effects on vector survival and reproduction, and on pathogen development in some vectors. The assessments suggest that climate warming is likely to increase risks from these diseases, but most assessments indicated moderate confidence in identifying which vector-borne diseases will be affected and the magnitude of the effects. This is due to the complexity of vector-borne disease transmission cycles. Multiple non-climatic determinants, including environmental and land-use changes, particularly for vector-borne zoonoses such as West Nile virus (WNV) and Lyme disease, and control efforts, particularly for exotic mosquito-borne diseases such as malaria and dengue, are important for determining the occurrence and abundance of vectors and pathogens. Previous assessments highlighted the climatic sensitivity of endemic hazard sensitivity in Canada and the United States, including plague and hantavirus infections that have a rodent reservoir; Lyme disease and other tick-borne diseases, such as Rocky Mountain spotted fever (RMSF); and endemic mosquito-borne diseases caused by WNV, eastern equine encephalitis virus (EEEV), and California serogroup viruses. The assessments identified that changes to geographic ranges and length of transmission seasons are particularly likely under climate change (Charron et al., 2008; Berry et al., 2014a; Smith et al., 2014; Beard et al., 2016; Ebi et al., 2018). Figure 6.2 illustrates the pathways through which climate change can affect infectious disease risks in Canada, according to previous assessments.
The 2008 Canadian assessment provided model-based projections of the northward spread of Lyme disease from the United States into Canada associated with climate change–driven range expansion of the tick vector *Ixodes scapularis* (Charron et al., 2008). Subsequently, the spread of the tick along climate-determined trajectories and the emergence of Lyme disease in Canada were documented (Berry et al., 2014a; Smith et al., 2014; Beard et al., 2016; Ebi et al., 2018) (Table 6.1). These assessments also identified risks of the introduction of exotic vector-borne diseases, such as malaria, dengue, and chikungunya, with climate change. Increasing temperatures were anticipated to increase the geographical extent of North America suitable for transmission of exotic vector-borne pathogens and the survival of populations of the exotic mosquito vectors (e.g., *Aedes* species) that transmit them.

**Table 6.1 Summary of assessment findings related to the effects of climate change on infectious diseases, excluding water- and food-borne diseases**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSESSMENT</th>
<th>IDENTIFIED CLIMATE-SENSITIVE INFECTIOUS DISEASES</th>
<th>ANTICIPATED IMPACT OF CLIMATE CHANGE</th>
<th>EVIDENCE FOR IMPACTS OF CLIMATE CHANGE ON DISEASE RISKS</th>
<th>ADAPTATION AND ADAPTIVE CAPACITY AT THE TIME OF THE ASSESSMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Chapter 5 - The Impacts of Climate Change on Water-, Food-, Vector-, and Rodent-Borne Diseases in Human Health in a Changing Climate: A Canadian Assessment of Vulnerabilities and Adaptive Capacity (Charron et al., 2008) | • Tick-borne disease  
• Mosquito-borne disease  
• Hantavirus  
• Plague | • Northward geographic range spread of tick-borne and mosquito-borne diseases into Canada from the United States  
• Invasion of exotic mosquito-borne diseases (dengue, malaria)  
• Invasion of exotic directly transmitted infections (e.g., SARS) | None | Adaptive capacity in terms of risk assessment, surveillance, prevention, and control is robust in Canada, but gaps identified in knowledge of disease ecology, the effects of climate, expert capacity, surveillance, and warning systems |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSESSMENT</th>
<th>IDENTIFIED CLIMATE-SENSITIVE INFECTIOUS DISEASES</th>
<th>ANTICIPATED IMPACT OF CLIMATE CHANGE</th>
<th>EVIDENCE FOR IMPACTS OF CLIMATE CHANGE ON DISEASE RISKS</th>
<th>ADAPTATION AND ADAPTIVE CAPACITY AT THE TIME OF THE ASSESSMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 7 - Human Health in Canada in a Changing Climate: Sector Perspectives on Impacts and Adaptation (Berry et al., 2014a)</td>
<td>As above</td>
<td>As above</td>
<td>Evidence for the emergence of Lyme disease via climate-determined trajectories, as well as increasing incidence of human cases</td>
<td>As above</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Chapter 11 - Human Health: Impacts, Adaptation, and Co-Benefits in Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) Assessment Report 5 (AR5) (Smith et al., 2014) | • Mosquito-borne diseases, such as malaria and dengue, in low- and middle-income countries  
• Tick-borne diseases in Europe and North America  
• Plague in Asia and North America  
• Hantavirus in North America | Possible increased incidence and range expansion of mosquito-borne and tick-borne diseases | None identified | Need to address risk and adaptive capacity in all countries by:  
• Reducing poverty  
• Improving nutrition, basic public health, and health services  
• Vulnerability mapping  
• Developing early warning systems linked to control programs |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSESSMENT</th>
<th>IDENTIFIED CLIMATE-SENSITIVE INFECTIOUS DISEASES</th>
<th>ANTICIPATED IMPACT OF CLIMATE CHANGE</th>
<th>EVIDENCE FOR IMPACTS OF CLIMATE CHANGE ON DISEASE RISKS</th>
<th>ADAPTATION AND ADAPTIVE CAPACITY AT THE TIME OF THE ASSESSMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 26 - North America in IPCC AR5 North America Chapter (Romero-Lankao et al., 2014)</td>
<td>• Mosquito-borne diseases such as West Nile virus&lt;br&gt;• Tick-borne diseases, particularly Lyme disease</td>
<td>• Possible increased incidence and range expansion of mosquito-borne and tick-borne diseases&lt;br&gt;• Risk of invasion of exotic mosquito-borne diseases</td>
<td>Evidence for the emergence of Lyme disease via climate-determined trajectories in Canada</td>
<td>Need improved datasets and models to understand effects of environmental changes versus other determinants of vector-borne disease risk, and early warning systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 5 - Vector-Borne Diseases in The Impacts of Climate Change on Human Health in the United States: A Scientific Assessment (Beard et al., 2016)</td>
<td>• Mosquito-borne disease such as West Nile virus and dengue&lt;br&gt;• Tick-borne diseases such as Lyme disease&lt;br&gt;• Plague</td>
<td>• Geographic range change, change in seasonality, and date of onset/duration of vector activity</td>
<td>None identified</td>
<td>Need improved models to understand and predict the effects of weather and climate changes versus other determinants of vector-borne disease risk, and field observations to support these</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 6.1.3 Managing Infectious Disease Risks

Adapting to climate-related infectious diseases first involves assessing risks to health and identifying populations at increased risk to the impacts. The capacity of public health systems to detect emerging and re-emerging infectious diseases by surveillance, and to prevent and control them by health promotion or more proactive measures such as vaccine development, as well as the capacity of health care systems to minimize consequences of infectious diseases, need to be assessed. Assessments provide information on vulnerability to infectious diseases and identify the most effective measures to reduce it (Berry, 2008). In general, previous assessments have suggested that, in North America, the ability of public health systems to assess risks from emerging and re-emerging infectious diseases, and to detect, prevent, and control them, is robust (see Table 6.1). The risk to most Canadians is low because of the relatively high socio-economic status of much of the population, which provides an environment that limits many disease risks, and because of the strong public health and health care infrastructures and systems. In addition, progress has been made in filling gaps in knowledge, surveillance, capacity, and early warning systems identified in previous assessments (see section 6.4 Adaptation to Reduce Health Risks).
6.2 Methods and Approach

This chapter includes analysis of the impacts of climate change on human risks from infectious diseases of importance for public health in Canada, with the exception of infectious diseases transmitted in drinking and recreational water and in food, which are addressed in separate chapters (see Chapter 7: Water Quality, Quantity, and Security, and Chapter 8: Food Safety and Security). This chapter is a narrative review authored by subject matter experts. However, to support the author team, a rapid review was conducted to identify the majority of the national and international literature on weather, climate, and climate change impacts on infectious diseases. The review focused on the five areas explored in the chapter:

- vector-borne diseases:
  - exotic mosquito-borne diseases, including those for which humans are the main reservoirs (e.g., malaria, dengue)
  - mosquito-borne diseases endemic in Canada (e.g., WNV disease)
  - non-mosquito, insect-borne diseases (e.g., plague)
  - tick-borne diseases (e.g., Lyme disease)
- directly transmitted zoonoses (e.g., rabies, hantavirus)
- infectious diseases directly transmitted human-to-human (e.g., influenza and enterovirus infections)
- infectious diseases transmitted by inhalation from environmental sources (e.g., cryptococcosis, legionellosis)
- Canadian capacity to adapt to changing risks from infectious diseases

The rapid review followed the general framework for scoping reviews first proposed by Arksey and O’Malley (2005) and further refined over the last 15 years (Levac et al., 2010; Peters et al., 2015; Tricco et al., 2016). It identified and characterized all of the available international research on climate change and infectious diseases using a systematic and reproducible methodology. A protocol was developed a priori and defines the scope of the rapid review, the comprehensive search strategy, and all tools used to screen citations and extract information from the literature (available upon request). The electronic search was conducted using Embase, PubMed, and Global Health in September 2018 to identify relevant literature in English and French on infectious diseases that also explored effects of weather, climate, and/or climate change. A grey literature search included targeted hand-searching of various government and scientific websites to identify reports that were not indexed in the electronic databases. Search results were de-duplicated in the reference management software Endnote (EndNote X7, Clarivate Analytics), and unique citations were uploaded into the web-based systematic review software Distiller SR (Distiller SR, Evidence Partners, Ottawa, Canada). Studies were screened for relevance by two reviewers, working independently, using a relevance screening tool developed a priori. All citations that were included after relevance screening were procured and confirmed to be relevant, and then the research was characterized using the developed data characterization tool. More recent publications were identified during the chapter review process.
Where sufficient information exists, in this chapter the confidence in direction and strength of the effects of climate change are identified. Identification of climate- or weather-sensitivity of diseases was considered to provide limited evidence for effects of climate change, evidence for climate- or weather-sensitivity combined with projections of effects of climate change provides medium evidence, while detected changes in infectious disease risks attributable to recent climate change was considered as providing robust evidence for effects of climate change.

6.3 Climate-Sensitive Health Risks, Projected Impacts of Climate Change, and Evidence of Impacts to Date

6.3.1 Vector-Borne Diseases

6.3.1.1 Effects of Climate Change on the Ecology and Epidemiology of Vectors and Vector-Borne Disease Transmission

The life cycles of many arthropod vectors, and the impacts of weather and climate on these life cycles, have been intensely studied in the laboratory, field, and modelling studies, and reviewed elsewhere (Ogden & Lindsay, 2016). How changes in weather and climate may affect arthropod vectors and the transmission of vector-borne diseases is summarized in Box 6.1. While the effects of weather and climate are generic for arthropod vectors, how specifically they affect life cycles and transmission cycles is highly idiosyncratic among the different vectors and pathogens (Figure 6.3). Climate change may affect the risk of vector-borne diseases by altering human social-behavioural risk factors, such as perception of risk and adoption of preventive behaviours (Bouchard et al., 2018). Human population growth, movement, and social and economic factors (e.g., changing exposure to tick-borne encephalitis virus in eastern Europe due to changing population exposure following collapse of the Eastern Bloc) have also been associated with differential rates of human exposure to vectors and vector-borne diseases (Randolph, 2004). How climate change may affect risks indirectly through these factors requires further study.
Box 6.1 How weather and climate change can affect arthropod vectors and vector-borne diseases

Warming temperatures can have a number of effects on vectors and vector-borne diseases, including:

- increasing survival of vectors;
- accelerating development from one life stage to the next, resulting in shorter life cycles and greater vector abundance;
- increasing periods of the year when vectors can be active and therefore the likelihood they feed successfully (Monaghan et al., 2015);
- shortening the duration of the extrinsic incubation period (EIP) of mosquito-borne pathogens. The EIP is the time it takes for a pathogen ingested in a blood meal to get from the mosquito's gut to its salivary glands, from which it can be delivered to another host.

An optimal temperature range exists for most vectors and vector-borne diseases. Extreme high and low temperatures can reduce vector activity and survival. For example, for mosquito-borne diseases, if the temperature is too cold, most mosquitoes die before the EIP is complete, while at very high temperatures most mosquitoes die, whether or not the EIP is complete. This results in a temperature range specific for mosquito species–pathogen combinations, outside of which efficient pathogen transmission by mosquitoes is impossible. Optimal rainfall ranges are also required for vectors and vector-borne diseases. Increased rainfall generally increases mosquito abundance by increasing the habitat for immature (larval and pupal) mosquitoes, which are aquatic. Only adult females take blood meals that transmit pathogens. However, heavy rainfall can reduce vector activity, for example, by drowning ticks and washing out immature mosquitoes from their habitat. In some cases, droughts can limit arthropod vectors. For example, droughts generally decrease mosquito populations by reducing the habitat for immature mosquitoes, and may reduce tick numbers if severe enough to dry out the woodland in which they live. However, droughts can increase mosquitoes in some urban habitats by turning drains into standing water, which is habitat for mosquito larvae and pupae.
Figure 6.3 The impacts of weather and climate on the life cycles of dipteran and ixodid tick vectors. The impacts of climate change are illustrated using the life cycle of the mosquito *Culex pipiens* and its role in the transmission of West Nile virus (WNV) in A. The life cycle of the tick *Ixodes scapularis* and its role in the transmission of the Lyme disease agent *Borrelia burgdorferi* is illustrated in B. In both, dark and pale blue arrows indicate development, and host finding/detachment from hosts, respectively, while red arrows indicate pathogen transmission cycles. Points at which weather and climate (and potentially climate change) may affect the vector’s life cycle (LC) and pathogen transmission cycle (TC) are indicated by the grey-filled boxes in which A = effects on activity, D = effects on inter-stadial development rates, EI = effects on the extrinsic incubation period, Re = effects on reproduction, T = effects of temperature, Ra = effects of rainfall, and RH = effects of humidity. In the centre of the *B. burgdorferi* transmission cycle (B) is an illustration of the seasonal activity of the tick vectors, which may be affected by climate and climate change through effects on both development and activity, as described in the text. Source: Ogden & Lindsay, 2016.
Box 6.2 Scenarios for introduction of exotic vector-borne diseases into Canada

**Scenario 1 – Local spread of US-endemic pathogens into regions of Canada where the mosquito vectors are already present**

Based on current knowledge, this scenario relates particularly to St. Louis encephalitis virus (SLEV, a flavivirus) and La Crosse encephalitis virus (LACV, a bunyavirus), which cause uncommon but severe and sometimes fatal disease in humans, and are endemic to central and eastern US states bordering Canada (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2018a; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2018b). The main vectors for SLEV (Culex tarsalis and Cx. pipiens) and LACV (Aedes triseriatus), as well as their wild animal reservoirs (wild birds for SLEV and chipmunks and squirrels for LACV), are established in parts of Canada (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2018a; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2018b; Giordano et al., 2015). A short-lived epidemic of SLEV in Southern Ontario in 1975 suggests that endemicity may be possible if environmental conditions become suitable (Spence et al., 1977).

**Scenario 2 – Spread of “exotic” diseases endemic to other countries to regions of Canada where mosquito vectors are already present**

The possibility of autochthonous transmission of exotic diseases transmitted by Aedes species mosquitoes is a reality where the mosquitoes have become endemic, such as Southern Europe and the Southern United States (Rezza et al., 2007; Bouri et al., 2012; Delisle et al., 2015; Likos et al., 2016; Septfons et al., 2016; Venturi et al., 2017). West Nile virus emerged in the United States and Canada by this mechanism, most likely by importation of infected mosquitoes on an aircraft followed by endemic transmission due to the existence of competent mosquito vectors and avian reservoir hosts (Gubler, 2007; Artsob et al., 2009; Zheng et al., 2014; Giordano et al., 2017). Other diseases that may emerge in Canada under this scenario include Japanese encephalitis (JE), malaria, Sindbis virus (SINV) infection, Usutu virus (USUV) infection, and Venezuelan equine encephalitis (VEE) infection (Table 6.2). All of these diseases are transmitted by mosquito vectors that have long been established in some parts of Canada (Berrang-Ford et al., 2009; Giordano et al., 2015; Giordano et al., 2018). The reservoir hosts for many of these diseases also already exist in Canada. For example, swine, wild birds, rodents, and horses are reservoirs of JE, SINV, USUV, and VEE virus, respectively.

**Scenario 3 – Spread of “exotic” diseases endemic to other countries to Canada where mosquito vectors are not currently present**

This scenario is the same as scenario 2, except that it applies to exotic mosquito-borne diseases for which both pathogen and vector would have to be imported and become endemic. Therefore, this is the least likely scenario for the emergence of a new mosquito-borne disease in Canada. For most of Canada, mosquito-borne diseases in this group include chikungunya, dengue, yellow fever (YF), and Zika virus infection, as the Aedes species vectors Ae. albopictus and Ae. aegypti have been considered absent. However Ae. albopictus has recently emerged in one area of Southern Ontario (Windsor-Essex County Health Unit, 2019b), so for this region chikungunya, dengue, and Zika virus are pathogens that could emerge according to scenario 2. YF is transmitted by Ae. aegypti but not by Ae. albopictus (Cuoto-Lima et al., 2017), so YF remains a “scenario 3” pathogen. Rift Valley fever virus (RVFV) is endemic to East Africa; however, livestock and wildlife species,
such as white-tailed deer in North America, are thought to be capable of acting as reservoirs for the virus (Golnar et al., 2014; Wilson et al., 2018). The African floodwater-breeding *Aedes* species vectors of RVFV are absent from North America, but mosquito species endemic to the United States and Canada have been observed to transmit the virus under laboratory conditions (Gargan et al., 1988; Turell et al., 2010; Iranpour et al., 2011; Turell et al., 2013a; Turell et al., 2013b; Turell et al., 2014). If these mosquitoes can transmit the virus under field conditions, RVFV should be considered a pathogen that could emerge according to scenario 2.

### 6.3.1.2 Exotic Mosquito-Borne Diseases

There is a wide range of mosquito-borne diseases of public health significance globally. Some, such as malaria, dengue, yellow fever, and chikungunya, which are transmitted from human to human by mosquitoes, are among the world's greatest public health threats, causing millions of cases and deaths annually (WHO, 2019a). Other diseases, many of which are mosquito-borne zoonoses, such as those caused by Sindbis and Venezuelan equine encephalitis viruses, occur more sporadically (Table 6.2). Exotic mosquito-borne diseases are absent from Canada due to multiple factors, including climate. For most exotic mosquito-borne diseases, Canada's climate is currently too cold for the vectors, and/or for development of the pathogens in the mosquito (Ng et al., 2019). Other barriers exist, including the lack of animal reservoir hosts for some diseases (such as primates for yellow fever virus) and standards of housing, including doors and windows, which prevent entry of vectors, and air conditioning systems, which inhibit human-to-human transmission by mosquitoes (Reiter, 2001). Physical barriers need to be surmounted for the pathogens and vectors to be transported to Canada from overseas.

The expansion of the geographic range of exotic vector-borne diseases into Canada requires movement of exotic vectors and pathogens from the countries where they are endemic. Increasing global trade and travel are expected to facilitate the global movement of mosquito vectors (infected or not) and infected travellers, thereby increasing the possibility of importation of vectors and pathogens into regions where they have not previously occurred (Tatem et al., 2006; Tatem et al., 2012; Semenza et al., 2016). There are three scenarios whereby vector-borne diseases currently exotic to Canada may emerge here (Box 6.2).

The public health impact of climate change on each emergence scenario will vary and could include increased likelihood of Canadians acquiring infection while travelling abroad, increased likelihood of short-lived autochthonous (i.e., local, within Canada) transmission where competent vectors are already established, and permanent endemicity of new diseases in Canada (Ng et al., 2019).

Mosquito vectors of exotic mosquito-borne diseases are sensitive to weather conditions, and climate change would be expected to affect them. Field and laboratory experiments demonstrate the temperature sensitivity of the *Aedes* and *Anopheles* species mosquitoes that are the main vectors of the most important exotic mosquito-borne diseases globally (Brady et al., 2013; Shapiro et al., 2017). In general, warmer temperatures, high humidity, and increased precipitation facilitate the life cycle of mosquitoes by supporting larval development and survival and by extending adult lifespan (Reeves et al., 1994; Jetten & Focks, 1997; Paaijmans et al., 2009; Yang et al., 2009). These climatic conditions will influence pathogen transmission by:
• reducing egg development time in adult female mosquitoes, thus reducing time between blood feeds and increasing feeding frequency (Reeves et al., 1994; Jetten & Focks, 1997; Paaijmans et al., 2013);
• shortening the EIP, thereby allowing mosquitoes to become infectious faster (Davis, 1932; Reeves et al., 1994; Jetten & Focks, 1997; Paaijmans et al., 2009; Paaijmans et al., 2013; Xiao et al., 2014); and
• increasing mosquito longevity, enabling infectious mosquitoes to bite more people (Yang et al., 2009).

However, many of these weather-dependent relationships are complex; relationships can be non-linear, can have opposite effects depending on circumstance, and can be influenced by non-climatic factors (Box 6.1).

The degree to which climate change will affect, or has already affected, the global distribution of many mosquitoes and mosquito-borne diseases is uncertain. This is because the relationship between climate and vector-borne diseases, particularly those transmitted human-to-human via mosquitoes, is complex, and the spatiotemporal distribution of vectors and the pathogens they carry depends on a range of factors that are not directly climatic. These factors include increased mobility and interconnectivity of people and goods (Junxiong & Yee-Sin, 2015; Semenza et al., 2016; Tabachnick, 2016; Lindsey et al., 2018; Romeo-Aznar et al., 2018), urbanization and other land-use changes (Junxiong & Yee-Sin, 2015; Jones & O’Neill, 2016; Semenza et al., 2016; Asad & Carpenter, 2018; Romeo-Aznar et al., 2018), socio-economic factors (KC & Lutz, 2017; Reina Ortiz et al., 2017; Lindsey et al., 2018; Moreno-Madriñán & Turell, 2018; Romeo-Aznar et al., 2018), demographic changes, including those due to immigration and population growth (Asad & Carpenter, 2018; Lindsey et al., 2018; Romeo-Aznar et al., 2018), population immunity (Anyamba et al., 2012; Larrieu et al., 2014; Semenza et al., 2016), genetic evolution and adaptation (Gubler, 2007; Tsetsarkin et al., 2007; Tabachnick, 2016), access to health care (Reiter, 2008; Ooi & Gubler, 2009; Semenza et al., 2016), and vector control and intervention programs (Githeko et al., 2000; Junxiong & Yee-Sin, 2015; Tasanee et al., 2015). Some of these factors are independent of climate change, but some may be influenced by climate change, and climate change may affect mosquito-borne diseases indirectly via these factors.

Despite the uncertainty, effects of climate change on the spread of mosquitoes and mosquito-borne diseases are likely (high confidence), with regional variation across the globe (Smith et al., 2014). By shortening the length of the life cycle, increasing mosquito survival, and enhancing pathogen transmission, climate change will drive the expansion of mosquito and mosquito-borne pathogen populations in some locations and increase the geographic scope, mostly poleward and toward higher altitudes, of their ecological niches in many cases (Campbell et al., 2015; Kraemer et al., 2015; Samy et al., 2016; Hertig, 2019; Kamal et al., 2019; Kraemer et al., 2019). While mosquito populations may expand into new geographic areas, they may disappear from others (Machado-Machado, 2012; Escobar et al., 2016; Williams et al., 2016).

A warming climate is expected to enhance populations of Canada-endemic mosquito species (Hongoh et al., 2012) and conditions for pathogen transmission, making Southern Canada, in particular, more suitable for the emergence of new mosquito-borne diseases by scenarios 1 and 2 (Box 6.2). Temperature conditions in parts of Southern Canada are also expected to become increasingly suitable for populations of the yellow fever mosquito *Ae. aegypti* and the Asian tiger mosquito *Ae. albopictus* that are vectors of dengue, chikungunya, Zika, and yellow fever. Climate change is also expected to increase temperature suitability for virus transmission (Ng et al., 2017), rendering mosquito-borne disease emergence by scenario 3 (Box 6.2)
increasingly possible in Southern Canada. Southern Coastal British Columbia, Southern Ontario, Quebec, New Brunswick, and Nova Scotia are anticipated to become climatically suitable for *Ae. albopictus* (Ogden et al., 2014a), while Southern Coastal British Columbia may also become suitable for *Ae. aegypti* (Campbell et al., 2015; Kamal et al., 2019). In 2016, an adult *Ae. aegypti* was collected in Southern Ontario. A very small number of adults and larvae were found in the subsequent year under enhanced mosquito trapping and field surveillance in the area, suggesting this species has not become established in Canada (Windsor-Essex County Health Unit, 2019a). However, *Ae. albopictus* has been found sporadically in multiple, but restricted, locations in Southern Ontario since 2005. There is one small area of Canada where *Ae. albopictus* became established between 2017 and 2018, although, to date, there is no evidence that these mosquitoes carry pathogens that have caused illness in humans (Windsor-Essex County Health Unit, 2019b).

Particularly for scenarios 2 and 3, the likelihood that new vector-borne diseases emerge in Canada will depend on the number of pathogen and/or vector introduction events, as well as the degree to which climate change makes Canada a more suitable environment for them to become established. Some forms of global movement may be driven by climate change, for example, climate refugees (McMichael et al., 2012) and changes in travel patterns (World Tourism Organization & United Nations Environment Programme, 2008). Climate change may therefore have three impacts that increase the likelihood of emergence by scenarios 2 and 3:

- increased climatic suitability in Canada;
- increased abundance of exotic pathogens and their vectors in countries outside Canada; and
- increased introduction of pathogens and vectors.

Even without the effects of climate change, global interconnectivity is increasing (Findlater & Bogoch, 2018), and Canadians are enthusiastic travellers (Statistics Canada, 2019), so local and global movement will continue to pose a growing risk for the introduction of exotic pathogens via imported vectors and infected humans into Canada. Pathways of introduction may be predictable, however. For Japanese encephalitis (JE), the most probable scenario for introduction into the United States would be by JE-infected female mosquitoes arriving on aircraft from eastern China (Oliveira et al., 2018). JE incursion would likely follow a similar pathway into Canada, with coastal British Columbia being the most likely entry location because of its many travel and trade connections with Asia. It also has a temperate climate and endemic mosquito vectors and reservoirs that might support local JEV transmission, should it arrive.

In addition to a suitable climate, other factors such as poverty and a lack of access to infrastructure, health care, and disease control measures are also important for endemic transmission of exotic pathogens transmitted human-to-human by mosquitoes (Ebi et al., 2006a; Halstead, 2008). Social conditions for most of the Canadian population (particularly population density and housing) are expected to limit mosquito biting rates, and health services remove infected people from transmission, making it difficult for efficient, sustained transmission cycles of such exotic pathogens to become established (Berrang-Ford et al., 2009; Ng et al., 2019). It is more likely that the public health impact will be occasional autochthonous cases in Canadians who have not travelled. These people may have severe health consequences because, in the absence of a history of travel, diagnosis may be delayed (Berrang-Ford et al., 2009; Ng et al., 2019). Delayed diagnosis of “unexpected” cases of exotic mosquito-borne disease in Canadians could lead to some chains of transmission and limited outbreaks. Examples from outside Canada include the introduction of chikungunya
virus into Mediterranean Europe in 2007 and dengue in France, Croatia, and Madeira between 2010 and 2013. These events may have been driven by particularly warm weather conditions (Rezza et al., 2007; Tomasello & Schlagenhaust, 2013).

In contrast, if exotic mosquito-borne zoonoses invade, they may be more likely to become endemic if environmental conditions, including climate, are suitable, as pathogen transmission among wildlife and/or livestock reservoir hosts would be mainly unrestricted, as has been the case for WNV. The range expansion of Sindbis virus (SINV) and Usutu virus (USUV), out of Africa and into Europe, have strongly paralleled that of WNV in North America (Weissenböck et al., 2002; Ashraf et al., 2015). Given that the mosquito vectors and reservoirs for USUV and SINV are already present in Canada, and the climate in invaded parts of Europe is very similar to that of southern regions of Canada, the emergence of SINV or USUV in this country as disease-causing endemic viruses is possible. Infections with SINV and USUV produce unpleasant but relatively mild infections in immunocompetent humans.

Another exotic mosquito-borne zoonosis, Rift Valley fever virus (RVFV), can cause infections that are serious and can be fatal (WHO, 2019b), so the possibility of emergence of this virus in Canada is a more concerning threat. Canada-endemic mosquito species have been shown to be competent vectors for RVFV, while a wide range of wildlife and domesticated livestock are animal reservoirs (Box 6.2, Table 6.2). The most likely mechanism of introduction into North America is considered to be RVFV-infected humans arriving on aircraft from endemic areas where there is an outbreak (Golnar et al., 2014; Golnar et al., 2018). Should the traveller come into contact with endemic mosquito vectors, transmission to wildlife and/or livestock may result in endemity.
Table 6.2 Key global mosquito-borne diseases and features of their transmission (vectors and hosts), geographic occurrence, and the presence of vectors and hosts in Canada and the United States

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DISEASE</th>
<th>PATHOGEN(S)</th>
<th>GEOGRAPHIC DISTRIBUTION OF HUMAN CASES</th>
<th>ENDEMICITY IN THE US</th>
<th>PRIMARY VECTORS</th>
<th>VECTORS ESTABLISHED IN CANADA?</th>
<th>IF ABSENT FROM CANADA, ARE VECTORS ESTABLISHED IN THE UNITED STATES?</th>
<th>PRIMARY RESERVOIRS</th>
<th>PRIMARY RESERVOIR(S) IN CANADA?</th>
<th>EVIDENCE OF SENSITIVITY TO CLIMATE</th>
<th>INTRODUCTION SCENARIO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chikungunya</td>
<td>Chikungunya virus</td>
<td>Africa, Southeast Asia, Philippines, Pacific Islands, Middle East, Caribbean, Americas</td>
<td>Not endemic but locally acquired cases reported in 2014–2015 in Florida and Texas</td>
<td>Aedes aegypti and Ae. albopictus</td>
<td>Emerging population of Ae. albopictus in a very limited area of Southern Ontario</td>
<td>Aedes aegypti southern states to Southern New York state, Ae. albopictus in southern and northeast states, including those bordering Central and Eastern Canada</td>
<td>Humans, wild primates (in Africa)</td>
<td>Yes (humans), no wild primates</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dengue</td>
<td>Dengue virus serotypes 1 to 4</td>
<td>Asia, the Pacific, Americas, Africa, Caribbean</td>
<td>Endemic in Puerto Rico</td>
<td>Aedes aegypti and Ae. albopictus</td>
<td>Emerging population of Ae. albopictus in a very limited area of Southern Ontario</td>
<td>Aedes aegypti southern states to Southern New York state, Ae. albopictus in southern and northeast states, including those bordering Central and Eastern Canada</td>
<td>Humans, wild primates in Southeast Asia and Western Africa</td>
<td>Yes (humans), no wild primates</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese encephalitis</td>
<td>Japanese encephalitis virus</td>
<td>Asia, Pacific Islands, Torres Strait of Australia, Papua New Guinea</td>
<td>Not endemic</td>
<td>Culex spp. mosquitoes</td>
<td>Cx. tarsalis in Western and Central Canada</td>
<td></td>
<td>Domestic pigs and wild birds</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Crosse encephalitis</td>
<td>La Crosse virus</td>
<td>United States (upper mid-western and mid-Atlantic and southeast states)</td>
<td>Endemic to most of the eastern states, including states that border Canada</td>
<td>Aedes triseriatus</td>
<td>Aedes triseriatus in Eastern Canada</td>
<td></td>
<td>Small mammals (chipmunks and squirrels)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DISEASE</td>
<td>PATHOGEN(S)</td>
<td>GEOGRAPHIC DISTRIBUTION OF HUMAN CASES</td>
<td>ENDEMICITY IN THE US</td>
<td>PRIMARY VECTORS</td>
<td>VECTORS ESTABLISHED IN CANADA?</td>
<td>IF ABSENT FROM CANADA, ARE VECTORS ESTABLISHED IN THE UNITED STATES?</td>
<td>PRIMARY RESERVOIRS</td>
<td>PRIMARY RESERVOIR(S) IN CANADA?</td>
<td>EVIDENCE OF SENSITIVITY TO CLIMATE</td>
<td>INTRODUCTION SCENARIO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaria</td>
<td>Plasmodium spp.</td>
<td>Central America, South America, Africa, Southeast Asia, Middle East, Southwest Pacific</td>
<td>Not endemic</td>
<td>Anopheles spp. mosquitoes, particularly An. gambiae and An. arabiensis</td>
<td>Anopheles quadrimaculatus in Eastern Ontario and Quebec, Anopheles freeborni in British Columbia</td>
<td>Humans</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rift Valley fever virus</td>
<td>Rift Valley fever</td>
<td>Eastern and Southern Africa, Saudi Arabia, Indian Ocean</td>
<td>Not endemic</td>
<td>African floodwater Aedes spp. mosquitoes</td>
<td>Some Aedes species in Canada are capable of transmitting the virus in the laboratory</td>
<td>Unknown, possibly livestock, equines, and wild ungulates</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sindbis fever</td>
<td>Sindbis virus</td>
<td>Northern Europe, Australia, China, South Africa</td>
<td>Not endemic</td>
<td>Ornithophilic Culex, Culiseta, Ochlerotatus, and Aedes spp. mosquitoes</td>
<td>Culex pipiens and some Ochlerotatus and Aedes spp.</td>
<td>Birds</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Louis encephalitis</td>
<td>St. Louis encephalitis virus</td>
<td>Central, Western, and Southern United States</td>
<td>Endemic in northern, eastern, and central states including states bordering Canada</td>
<td>Culex tarsalis, C. pipiens, C. quinquefasciatus, C. nigripalpus</td>
<td>Culex tarsalis in Western and Central Canada and Culex pipiens along the US-Canada border</td>
<td>Birds</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DISEASE</td>
<td>PATHOGEN(S)</td>
<td>GEOGRAPHIC DISTRIBUTION OF HUMAN CASES</td>
<td>ENDEMICITY IN THE US</td>
<td>PRIMARY VECTORS</td>
<td>VECTORS ESTABLISHED IN CANADA?</td>
<td>IF ABSENT FROM CANADA, ARE VECTORS ESTABLISHED IN THE UNITED STATES?</td>
<td>PRIMARY RESERVOIRS</td>
<td>PRIMARY RESERVOIR(S) IN CANADA?</td>
<td>EVIDENCE OF SENSITIVITY TO CLIMATE</td>
<td>INTRODUCTION SCENARIO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usutu virus</td>
<td>Usutu virus</td>
<td>Africa, Europe</td>
<td>Not endemic</td>
<td>Culex pipiens, Cx. neavei</td>
<td>Culex pipiens along the US-Canada border</td>
<td>Birds</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venezuelan equine encephalitis</td>
<td>Venezuelan equine encephalitis virus</td>
<td>South and Central Americas, Mexico and cases as far as Southern United States</td>
<td>Not endemic</td>
<td>Culex, Aedes, Mansonia, Psorophora, Deinercites, and Ochlerotatus spp. mosquitoes</td>
<td>Oc. sollicitans in Eastern Canada</td>
<td>Oc. taeniorynchus along most of US coast and Culex melanoconion in Florida</td>
<td>Rodents, equines</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow fever</td>
<td>Yellow fever virus</td>
<td>Tropical and subtropical Africa, South America</td>
<td>Not endemic</td>
<td>Ae. aegypti</td>
<td></td>
<td>Aedes aegypti southern states to Southern New York state</td>
<td>Humans, wild primates</td>
<td>Yes (humans), no wild primates</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zika</td>
<td>Zika virus</td>
<td>Africa, Southeast Asia, South America</td>
<td>Not endemic but locally acquired cases in Puerto Rico, Florida, and Texas from 2015-2016</td>
<td>Ae. aegypti, Ae. albopictus</td>
<td>Emerging population of Ae. albopictus in a very limited area of Southern Ontario</td>
<td>Aedes aegypti southern states to southern New York state, Ae. albopictus in southern and northeastern states, including those bordering Central and Eastern Canada</td>
<td>Humans, wild primates</td>
<td>Yes (humans), no wild primates</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.3.1.3 Canada-Endemic Mosquito-Borne Diseases

All four of the most medically important arboviruses endemic to Canada — WNV, EEEV, snowshoe hare virus (SSHV), and Jamestown Canyon virus (JCV) — are transmitted through bites of infected female mosquitoes. Mosquitoes acquire infections from specific mammalian or avian reservoir hosts. The main mosquito vectors for WNV are *Cx. pipiens* and *Cx. restuans* in Eastern Canada and *Cx. tarsalis* in Western Canada (Kramer et al., 2008), while for EEEV, *Culiseta melanura* is the main vector (Armstrong & Andreadis, 2010). Non- *Culex* mosquito species (e.g., *Aedes*, *Culiseta*, and *Anopheles* spp.) are the primary vectors of the California serogroup viruses (CSGV), such as SSHV and JCV (Drebot, 2015; Pastula et al., 2015; Webster et al., 2017). For WNV and EEEV, a wide range of bird species serve as reservoirs, including corvids and passerines (Kilpatrick et al., 2006; Kramer et al., 2008; Ludwig et al., 2010; Reisen, 2013). The main reservoir of JCV is the white-tailed deer (Andreadis et al., 2008), while squirrels, chipmunks, and hares are the reservoir hosts for SSHV (Drebot, 2015). A number of these viruses are also maintained by transovarial transmission, which allows for less dependence on mammalian reservoirs (Griot et al., 1993).

Additional viral and bacterial agents transmitted by insects are also endemic in Canada but are less active, or their occurrence is under-studied. Western equine encephalitis virus appears to have decreased in prevalence in Canada in recent decades, while Cache Valley virus (CVV) has been responsible for a number of livestock (i.e., sheep) outbreaks in Ontario, Quebec, and other provinces, but human infection is most likely under-reported (Drebot, 2015). Arboviruses can also be transmitted occasionally by blood transfusion or tissue transplants (Fonseca et al., 2005; Pathogen Regulation Directorate, 2010). Apart from this possibility, humans are incidental/dead-end hosts for these mosquito-transmitted diseases; while they can be infected, they cannot subsequently transmit viruses to feeding mosquitoes with any efficiency because viremia is transient and viral loads are low (Kramer et al., 2008; Kulkarni et al., 2015).

Approximately 20% of individuals who are exposed to mosquito-borne viruses, such as WNV, EEEV, JCV, or SSHV, will develop acute clinical illness, including fever, headache, skin rash, nausea, and muscle aches. Most affected people recover fully, but approximately 1% develop severe illness (e.g., meningitis, encephalitis, acute flaccid paralysis, and poliomyelitis), in which case neurologic and cognitive deficits may be prolonged or permanent. Approximately 10% of severe cases are fatal. Individuals over 70 years of age and those with underlying medical conditions, such as obesity, diabetes, hypertension, and heart disease, are at greater risk of severe illness. However, SSHV causes neurological illness in children as well. People who are immunocompromised are also at greater risk (Petersen et al., 2013a; Petersen et al., 2013b; Sejvar, 2014; Badawi et al., 2018). The severity of illness varies and depends upon the virus; for example, EEEV is one of the most severe mosquito-transmitted diseases in the United States, with approximately 33% mortality in those developing neurological illness and significant brain damage in most survivors who developed symptomatic disease (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2018c). Western equine encephalitis virus and CVV give rise to a similar range of symptoms; while the majority of cases are asymptomatic, a varying percentage develop encephalitis, meningoencephalitis, encephalomyelitis, high fever, altered consciousness, neurologic dysfunction, aseptic meningitis, stiff neck, headache, myalgia, tremors, nausea, vomiting, and urinary tract infection. The mortality rate is between 5% to 20% for St. Louis encephalitis virus, but is believed to be much lower for western equine encephalitis virus and CVV infection (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2018d).
The expected effects of climate change on Canada-endemic mosquito-borne diseases are northward range expansion associated with long-term warming and more epidemic behaviour associated with climate variability and extreme weather events, via effects on vector survival and reproductive rates (together affecting vector abundance), biting rates, the length of the activity season, and the duration of the EIP. Canada-endemic mosquito-borne diseases are zoonoses transmitted from wild animals (birds and mammals). The effects of climate change on the populations of these animals are expected to affect the pathogen transmission cycles. These effects may simply result in northward range expansion of hosts, but there may be more complex effects on reservoir host biodiversity. For example, changes in host abundance and geographic range may be limited by physical conditions (e.g., barriers to movement) and/or biological processes (e.g., reduced access to food at critical times in the life cycle, such as breeding and rearing periods). Resulting changes in species composition can have varying consequences, such as disruptions in predator–prey and host–parasite relationships. Therefore, although host biodiversity will likely change in response to new climate conditions, uncertainties remain regarding how such changes will affect exposure risk of Canadians to vector-borne diseases (Varrin et al., 2007). In all likelihood, the impact will be specific to the ecosystem or habitat, resulting in a patchwork of increasing and decreasing biodiversity of host communities, changing with time across the country. Climate change may have more rapid effects on host communities via extreme weather events, such as droughts and heat events, which can bring reservoir hosts searching for water sources to mosquito breeding grounds (Shaman et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2010; Harrigan et al., 2014).

Many modelling studies have examined the relationship between climatic variables (mainly temperature and precipitation) and WNV infection (infected humans, birds, or mosquitoes) in Canada (Wang et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2013; Tam et al., 2014; Paz, 2015; Yoo et al., 2016; DeFelice et al., 2018). However, the ecology of EEEV and CSGV remains under-studied, likely due to perceptions that these viruses are not as important for public health, as well as a lack of detailed surveillance data. However, land cover, including proximity and size of coniferous forested area and wetlands, has been found to influence EEEV and JCV occurrence (Vander Kelen et al., 2014; Rocheleau et al., 2018) and could also be affected by climate change.

The impact of climate change on WNV transmission in Canada has been investigated in two studies with similar conclusions. Chen et al. (2013) examined WNV transmission in the Prairies, where *Cx. tarsalis* is the main vector, and projected an extension of seasonal activity of WNV-infected *Cx. tarsalis* from three months (June to August) to five months (May to September) by the 2080s. The authors also projected a northward range expansion for *Cx. tarsalis* and WNV. Since this vector is also capable of transmitting CVV, the range and prevalence of this disease may also be influenced by this *Cx. tarsalis* expansion (Ayers et al., 2018). Hongoh et al. (2012) modelled the potential distribution of *Cx. pipiens* populations in Eastern Canada under current and future projected climate change and projected a similar northward range expansion for this eastern vector of WNV.

A greater understanding of how climate change may alter communities of avian and mammalian reservoir host species would enable more robust evaluation of climate change effects, but few studies have conducted these evaluations, due to limited data and methodological constraints. Current evidence indicates that climatically suitable ranges (or climate envelopes) for many species will likely shift northwards in response to warming temperatures. For example, ecological niche models for 765 species suggest that climate change may increase biodiversity in Southern Quebec during this century, as species move northward (Berteaux et
al., 2010; Chambers et al., 2013). Similarly, many bird species that currently breed in the northern portion of the Eastern United States are likely to move northward into Canada, increasing the richness of bird species in Eastern Canada (DesGranges & Morneau, 2010). Habitat loss and disturbance, induced by climate change or other factors, that may result in habitat fragmentation (Warren & Lemmen, 2014) can affect avian and mammalian reservoir host communities (Berteaux & Stenseth, 2006). To what extent these positive and negative effects on host populations will cause increased or decreased risks from mosquito-borne diseases is not yet clear and needs further study (Salkeld et al., 2013).

Mosquito-borne infections have been identified in Canada for many decades; however, recently the number of cases of arbovirus infection appears to be increasing (Ludwig et al., 2019). Since 2002, the annual reported incidence of human cases of WNV, the only Canada-endemic mosquito-borne disease that is nationally reportable, has fluctuated significantly over time at a national level. Reported cases have ranged from five cases in 2010 to highs of 1481 in 2003 (during initial invasion across Canada) and 2215 cases in 2007, associated with an unprecedented abundance of Cx. tarsalis mosquitoes in the Prairie provinces (Figure 6.4). This may be consistent with the effects of weather and climate variability on WNV dynamics (Ludwig et al., 2019).
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**Figure 6.4** The number of reported human cases of WNV each year in Canada. Source: Government of Canada, 2019a.

Geographical variation over time has been dramatic as well. In 2003 and 2007, most human cases of WNV were reported in the Prairies (Alberta, Saskatchewan, and Manitoba), but in 2002, 2012, and 2018 most reported cases were detected in Ontario and Quebec. This variability is at least in part consistent with the
effects of local weather variability on the abundance of Cx. *tarsalis*, Cx. *pipiens*, and Cx. *restuans* mosquitoes during outbreak years, and an indication that greater weather variability under climate change may result in more epidemic behaviour of endemic mosquito-borne diseases (Ludwig et al., 2019). Human CSGV cases have been detected across Canada, and a single human EEEV case was reported in 2016 in Ontario (M. Drebot, personal communication, 2019).

Increased awareness of CSGVs, enhanced field surveillance in reservoir hosts, and greater diagnostic capacity in humans and animals, may have contributed to their "emergence" as a public health concern during the mosquito season. Routine diagnostic testing for CSGVs was conducted during the late 1970s and 1980s but discontinued until new testing methods were introduced in 2005, when human cases were once again documented in Canada. Over 200 probable and confirmed cases of CSGV infections and/or exposures have been identified by laboratory-based surveillance from 2005 to 2014, with illness from JCV being more frequently detected than illness caused by SSHV (Drebot, 2015; Lau et al., 2017; Webster et al., 2017; M. Drebot, personal communication, 2019). Although CSGV infections are not nationally notifiable, the numbers of CSGV infections have been summarized in the Public Health Agency of Canada’s (PHAC) arbovirus annual reports (Government of Canada, 2019a) and have ranged from 34 to 122 cases per year. To date, there have been no direct associations observed between the effects of weather variability or recent climate change and the incidence of these mosquito-borne viruses in Canada, although such associations may exist.

In Canada, changes have been observed in the geographic distributions and densities of mosquito vectors. The mosquito fauna of Canada, which includes 74 mosquito species from 10 different genera, was described in the 1970s (Wood et al., 1979). Since then, six species (Ochlerotatus *ventrovittis*, Oc. *japonicus*, Culex *salinarius*, Culex *erraticus*, Anopheles *perplexens*, and An. *crucians*) have been reported as possibly newly established in Canada (Thielman & Hunter, 2007; Giordano et al., 2015; Iranpour et al., 2017). In addition, the geographic range of 10 species (Uranotaenia *sapphirina*, Culiseta *melanura*, Cs. *minnesotae*, Culex *tarsalis*, Ochlerotatus *sticticus*, Oc. *spencerii*, Oc. *dorsalis*, Oc. *nigromaculis*, Oc. *campestris*, and Oc. *cataphylla*) has expanded in Canada (Iranpour et al., 2009). Some of these range expansions, which may affect public health, could have been facilitated by changes in climate, but lack of systematic surveillance precludes any conclusion.

There is strong observational evidence of range shifts for mammal and bird species in North America. Over the past 40 years, about 180 of 305 bird species wintering in North America expanded their range northward, at an average rate of 1.4 km per year. Similarly, the breeding ranges of birds in Southern North America have shifted by an average of 2.4 km per year (Federal, Provincial, & Territorial Governments of Canada, 2010). Within the northeastern forests of North America, 27 of 38 species for which historical ranges are documented have expanded their ranges, predominantly northward (Rodenhouse et al., 2009). Published accounts of range shifts in Canada are available for a number of species (Hitch & Leberg, 2007; Blanchet et al., 2008), with detailed analyses for some species, including the hooded warbler (Setophaga *citrina*) (Melles et al., 2011), and the southern flying squirrel (Glaucomys *volans*) (Garroway et al., 2010; Garroway et al., 2011). It is very possible that geographic range shifts of these species have been driven, in part, by recent climate warming. Such range changes could affect endemic mosquito-borne pathogen transmission by changing ranges of reservoir species, while species that are not reservoirs may act to “dilute” arbovirus transmission cycles (Levine et al., 2017). However, further study is required to understand precisely how, where, and when this may have an impact on risk to humans.
6.3.1.4 Other Insect-Borne Zoonotic Diseases

Other insect-borne zoonotic diseases may also be affected by climate change. Plague, caused by the bacterium *Yersinia pestis*, has been documented sporadically in Western Canada. *Yersinia pestis* is transmitted by the bite of an infected flea or by direct contact with infectious tissues or fluids while handling an animal or human that is sick with, or has died from, plague. Droplet-transmission via coughing or sneezing is also possible, due to infection of the lungs of an animal or human with pneumonic plague (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2019a). People infected with plague usually develop flu-like symptoms. After this flu-like phase, they develop varying symptoms, depending on the form of plague — bubonic, septicemic (this form typically develops as a complication of bubonic plague), or pneumonic. Plague is an infection that requires urgent medical care, as mortality rates are high in the absence of treatment (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2019a). The natural reservoirs of *Y. pestis* are wild rodents, particularly ground squirrels in North America and gerbils in Asia.

There is a well recognized association of climatic patterns (generally warmer and wetter periods) with spillover of plague from gerbil–flea transmission cycles to humans in central Asia, due to effects on vegetation that promote gerbil and then flea populations (Kausrud et al., 2010; Samia et al., 2011). These spillover events in Asia are thought to have been the source of the great plague pandemics (the Justinian plague and the Black Death) that decimated human populations in Europe (Kausrud et al., 2010). The impact of climate was studied on plague outbreaks in pre-industrial Europe (1347 to 1760 CE). In contrast to spillover in the Asian steppes, the results suggested that plague in Europe was associated with drier and colder climates (Yue & Lee, 2018). This difference is likely due to the transmission in Europe being driven by a combination of direct human-to-human transmission (causing pneumonic type of plague in humans) and flea-borne transmission from peri-domestic rat reservoirs (producing the bubonic type of plague), both of which may be influenced by effects of climate on human population density and behaviour (Earn et al., 2020).

A 56-year time series of human plague cases in the Western United States was used to explore the effects of climatic patterns on plague incidence. As in central Asia, warmer and wetter climate was associated with increased numbers of human cases (Ben Ari et al., 2008). In a consecutive study, the same group found that El Niño–Southern Oscillation and Pacific Decadal Oscillation, in combination, affect the dynamics of plague over the Western United States by enhancing dry-to-wet changes in the climate. The underlying mechanism could involve changes in precipitation and temperatures that affect both hosts and vectors as in Asia. Snow may play a key role, possibly via effects on summer soil moisture, which affects flea survival and development and growth of vegetation for rodents (Ben Ari et al., 2010). A study of the relationships between climatic variables and the frequency of human plague cases (from 1960 to 1997) in Northeastern Arizona and Northwestern New Mexico suggested that plague risk can be estimated by monitoring key climatic variables, most notably maximum daily summer temperature values and time-lagged (one- and two-year) amounts of late winter (February–March) precipitation (Enscore et al., 2002).

Modelling studies have been conducted on the impact of climate change on plague distribution in North America. Using an ecological niche-modelling approach, models by Holt et al. (2009) suggest that, by 2050, climate conditions may reduce plague risk in the southern parts of California and increase risk along the northern coast and the Sierras. A study by Nakazawa et al. (2007) suggested that the disease shifts in accordance with patterns of climatic shift, but that overall geographic shifts will likely be subtle, with some northward movement of southern limits and possibly northward movement of northern limits as well.
Studies of flea species vectors of *Y. pestis* in Canadian prairie dog populations suggested that flea counts per individual varied inversely with the number of days in the prior growing season with more than 10 mm of precipitation; an index of the number of precipitation events that might have caused a substantial, prolonged increase in soil moisture and vegetative production (Eads & Hoogland, 2017). Beyond these studies there have been no attempts to assess how precisely climate change may impact plague dynamics and geographic range in Canada, and there are no field surveillance data available to explore any climatic impacts on the environmental hazard of plague in Canada. Plague is nationally notifiable, but only one human case has been recorded, which occurred in 1939 (Government of Canada, 2018a).

Chagas disease is caused by the protozoal parasite *Trypanosoma cruzi* and is an infection most commonly acquired through contact with the feces of an infected triatomine bug (or “kissing bug”), a blood-sucking insect that feeds on humans and animals. Chagas disease has an acute and a chronic phase and, if untreated, infection is lifelong. Infection may be mild or asymptomatic. There may be fever and/or swelling around the site of inoculation. Many people may remain asymptomatic for life, but 20% to 30% of infected people develop debilitating and sometimes life-threatening medical problems over the course of their lives (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2018e). It is absent from Canada and, while most of the estimated 300,000 cases of Chagas disease in persons living in the United States were likely acquired in Latin American countries, transmission cycles of *T. cruzi* involving animal hosts and humans, and autochthonous vector-borne human infections, have been reported in Texas, California, Tennessee, Louisiana, and Mississippi in the United States (Steverding, 2014).

Chagas disease is likely under-recognized and having an impact on the health care system and economy globally because of limited screening and treatment and a lack of awareness among health care professionals (Click Lambert et al., 2008; Bern & Montgomery, 2009). The possible impact of climate change on Chagas disease vectors in North America has been explored using ecological niche-modelling methods; while northward range expansions of some species were predicted, increasing risks for Canada were not found (Carmona-Castro et al., 2018).

### 6.3.1.5 Tick-Borne Zoonotic Diseases

Ticks transmit a wide range of bacterial, viral, and protozoan pathogens globally (Sonenshine, 2018). While it is generally acknowledged that increases in temperature associated with climate change will likely contribute to a general increase in the number, type, activity level, and geographical distribution of ticks in North America (Eisen et al., 2016; Sonenshine, 2018), the magnitude of impact climate change will have on risks from tick-borne diseases is uncertain and will likely vary regionally. In Canada, evidence suggests that the emergence of Lyme disease, associated with the northward range spread of the tick *Ixodes scapularis*, has been driven, at least in part, by recent climate warming (Ebi et al., 2017; Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2019).

Tick-borne diseases of public health significance are zoonoses, and in North America the natural reservoir hosts are wild animals, particularly rodents. There are two types of ticks, hard-bodied (Ixodid) ticks and soft-bodied (Argasid) ticks (Lindquist et al., 2016). In Northern North America, including Canada, the soft-bodied tick of most public health importance is *Ornithodoros hermsi*, which transmits the bacterium that causes relapsing fever, *Borrelia hermsii*. Other soft-bodied ticks and relapsing fever *Borrelia* species occur in the
United States; *Borrelia turicatae* and *Borrelia parkeri* are transmitted by *Ornithodoros turicata* and *Ornithodoros parkeri*, respectively, but are not currently endemic to Canada (Sage et al., 2017). Both *O. hermsi* and *B. hermsii* are naturally cave-dwelling species, and the natural hosts for blood meals by the ticks, and reservoir hosts for *B. hermsii*, are wild rodents. While *Ornithodoros hermsi* and *B. hermsii* most commonly occur in caves in the mountainous regions of the Western United States and have limited distribution in Southern British Columbia, they invade cabins in these regions, which is where most human infections are acquired (Dworkin et al., 2008).

Tick-borne relapsing fever is a febrile, septicemic disease with a sudden onset followed by numerous relapses with afebrile intervals (Artsob, 2000; Murray, 2003). Persistence of the bacterium and relapses are associated with bacteria evading the immune response (Cutler, 2010). There is a very wide range of symptoms, including rashes, ocular lesions, jaundice, and vomiting (Ogden et al., 2014b). However, it is uncommon in humans in Canada, due to the low frequency with which people come into contact with infected ticks.

An ecological niche-modelling approach identified elevation (higher elevations being more favourable) and specific ranges of temperature and precipitation as key determinants of the presence of *O. hermsi* ticks and *B. hermsii* (Sage et al., 2017). In this same study, wider northward and westward range expansion into mountainous regions of British Columbia were projected using three global climate models (GCM) (GCMs: ACCESS1-0, HadGEM2-ES, and CCSM4) and two estimates of greenhouse gas concentration trajectories denoted by representative concentration pathways (RCP) 4.5 and 8.5. Greater range expansion was projected in Canada with the higher greenhouse gas emission scenario, RCP8.5. For both RCP4.5 and RCP8.5, all models projected a range contraction in the United States.

Diseases transmitted by hard-bodied ticks pose the greatest tick-borne disease challenges for public health in North America, and, among these, the most important is Lyme disease, caused by the bacterium *Borrelia burgdorferi*. Lyme disease is a disease affecting multiple body systems that begins with mild non-specific illness and, in most cases, a typical skin rash known as erythema migrans. If untreated, the disease progresses to disseminated Lyme disease with neurological or cardiac manifestations and, in late stages, arthritis (Wormser et al., 2006). This bacterium is transmitted by *Ixodes scapularis* (the black-legged tick) in Northeastern and Upper Midwest United States, and in Southern Central and Eastern Canada, and *I. pacificus* (the western black-legged tick) in the Pacific states of the United States and Southern British Columbia (Bouchard et al., 2015; Eisen et al., 2016). In Southern British Columbia, the geographic range of *I. pacificus*, and the risk of *B. burgdorferi* infection the tick poses, is thought to be quite wide (Mak et al., 2010). However, the risk of acquiring Lyme disease is much lower where *I. pacificus* is the vector, compared to most regions where *I. scapularis* is the vector, due to characteristics of the ecology of *I. pacificus* that result in generally low infection prevalence in this tick and less likelihood that it bites humans (Eisen et al., 2016). There have been no studies to date to assess possible impacts of climate change on future *I. pacificus* and *B. burgdorferi* distributions in British Columbia. Modelling of current *I. pacificus* and *B. burgdorferi* distributions in British Columbia has identified temperature — specifically, mean daily temperatures in January and July — as an important determinant of the ecological niche of these species, and climate change is expected to have an impact on northward and possibly altitudinal distributions (Mak et al., 2010).

For *I. scapularis*, a range of field and laboratory studies (Ogden, 2014) suggested that the main impact of temperature on this species is on development rates and activity; woodland habitats in Canada provide refuges for the ticks, in which they are protected from the direct effects of very low winter temperatures that
would otherwise kill them. Model-based assessments of the risk of occurrence of *I. scapularis* used effects of temperature on development rates, and thus life cycle length, to identify lower temperature limits for persistence of self-sustaining tick populations (Ogden et al., 2005). These limits have now been extensively validated by field studies, which, in concert with analysis of passive tick surveillance data, have identified a spatiotemporal pattern of south-to-north range spread into Canada from the United States (and now within Canada) that is consistent with recent climate warming having been a key driver (Ogden et al., 2010; Leighton et al., 2012; Clow et al., 2017; Ebi et al., 2017).

The rapidly increasing incidence of Lyme disease in Canada, identified by national surveillance (Gasmi et al., 2017), is consistent with the observed range expansion of *I. scapularis*, as well as increasing infection prevalence in recently established tick populations (Ogden et al., 2013; Clow et al., 2017) (see Figure 6.5). Due to the high level of agreement among studies, and the evidence of climate change impacts, there is high confidence that the emergence of Lyme disease in Eastern and Central Canada has been associated with recent climate warming. The observed emergence of Lyme disease in Canada is consistent with effects of climate change acting on the tick vector itself. However, there is also evidence that a warming climate may be influencing the risk of Lyme disease via effects on other parts of the transmission cycle, particularly the abundance and geographic range of key rodent reservoir hosts (Simon et al., 2014).

![Figure 6.5](image-url) The evolution of Lyme disease risk in Canada and human cases. Bar chart shows the evolution of human Lyme disease cases. Note that Lyme disease became nationally notifiable in December 2009. Data from 2009 and earlier are based on voluntary submission of information from provinces and territories in which Lyme disease was notifiable. Source: Gasmi et al., 2018.
Other *Ixodes scapularis*-transmitted pathogens, additional to *B. burgdorferi*, are now emerging in Canada from the United States as the ticks spread north (Table 6.3), but none of the diseases they cause are currently nationally notifiable. Details of these are presented in Box 6.3.

**Box 6.3 Diseases and pathogens transmitted by *Ixodes scapularis* ticks that are emerging with Lyme disease**

**Anaplasmosis**, caused by the bacterium *Anaplasma phagocytophilum*, has non-specific symptoms (e.g., fever, headache, and muscle aches) and a case-fatality rate less than 1% (Biggs et al., 2016). Human or animal cases have been identified in most provinces where the ticks occur (Edginton et al., 2018).

**Babesiosis**, caused by the protozoan *Babesia microti*, causes a Lyme-like disease, with a case-fatality rate of 2%–5% (Biggs et al., 2016). The pathogen has been detected in *I. scapularis* ticks in Manitoba, Ontario, Quebec, and New Brunswick (O’Brien et al., 2016), although human cases have been identified only in Manitoba (Bullard et al., 2014).

**Powassan virus**, which was first detected in Powassan, Ontario, is transmitted by a number of different tick species. Presentation can vary greatly, from asymptomatic infections to fatal encephalitis cases, and the case-fatality rate is 10% (Artsob, 1988). Two lineages have been identified in vector ticks, with Lineage I identified in *I. cookei*, the nest-living groundhog tick, in Ontario, Quebec, and New Brunswick, while Lineage II, also known as deer tick virus, is transmitted by *I. scapularis* in Manitoba, Ontario, and Nova Scotia (Corrin et al., 2018).

**Borrelia miyamotoi** was first identified in 2013 in Canada, and this pathogen has been found in *I. scapularis* and *I. pacificus* ticks (Dibernardo et al., 2014). *Borrelia miyamotoi* is more closely related to the *Borrelia* species that cause relapsing fever, which are transmitted by soft-bodied ticks. The disease has generally non-specific symptoms of fever, fatigue, headache, myalgia, chills, nausea, and arthralgia, which may relapse, as do other relapsing fever infections.

A model-based assessment of the possible effects of climate change on the geographic range of the tick *Dermacentor variabilis*, a vector of RMSF and tularemia, which is already endemic to much of Southern Canada, suggested that the range of this tick will expand northward in Canada (Minigan et al., 2018). Prolonged extreme values of temperature (i.e., high or low), low humidity, and intense rainfall could adversely affect tick survival by reducing their activity and increasing their mortality rate (Ogden & Lindsay, 2016). However, this is expected to have less of an effect on ticks than on mosquitoes, because of the tick’s ability to find refuge in their woodland habitats (Ogden & Lindsay, 2016). Model-based studies have suggested that, while the northern limit of the geographic range of *I. scapularis* and *D. variabilis* ticks will move northward with climate change, there will also be a northward contraction of the southern limit of the ticks’ range as the climate becomes too hot for them (Brownstein et al., 2005a; Minigan et al., 2018). If so, this may affect exposure to the ticks for those living in southern US states, but is unlikely to affect risk in Canada. Studies of
*I. scapularis* suggest that ticks in the Southeastern United States may adapt to a hot climate (Ogden et al., 2018), so this effect may be minimal.

Non-climate factors also determine where tick populations and pathogen transmission cycles can become established. These include microhabitat features, such as soil characteristics, which are critical for tick survival and the successful establishment of new tick populations (Lindsay et al., 1998; Guerra et al., 2002). Modifications in habitat characteristics, in parallel with climate change, such as habitat fragmentation, loss of biodiversity, resource availability, and land use, affect the dynamics of ticks, their animal hosts, and the exposure of ticks to humans (Brownstein et al., 2005b; Simon et al., 2014). In some parts of Canada, the emergence of *I. scapularis* and *B. burgdorferi* is patchy and uneven, likely because of the suitability of different woodlands for tick survival and because of variations in tick host abundance (Gabriele-Rivet et al., 2015). Changes in non-climate factors can drive emergence of tick-borne diseases. For example, Lyme disease emerged in the United States in the 20th century, likely as a consequence of the reforestation of farmland and the increase in the deer populations, which allowed the expansion of the tick populations (Kilpatrick et al., 2017).

While Lyme disease and other tick-borne diseases transmitted by *I. scapularis* and *I. pacificus* ticks are the tick-borne diseases of greatest concern for public health in Canada at present, other Canada-endemic tick-borne diseases (Table 6.3) are possibly being affected by a warming climate or may be affected in the future. Currently, there is no evidence of the impact of recent warming on these diseases; however, there is evidence from surveillance showing recent increases in the abundance and range of tick vectors of these other diseases. These include increased abundance of *I. cookei* (a vector of Lineage I Powassan virus), *Dermacentor variabilis* (a vector of RMSF, tularemia, and Colorado tick fever), and *Rhipicephalus sanguineus* (a vector of RMSF) in Eastern Canada (Gasmi et al., 2018), and range expansion of *D. variabilis* in the Prairie provinces (Dergousoff et al., 2013) (Table 6.3).

The northward range expansion of Lyme disease into Canada provides proof of concept of the following:

- The geographic range of ticks and tick-borne diseases in North America may be limited by climate.
- A warming climate may permit northward range expansion of these species.
- Migratory birds and, perhaps, other mammalian tick host species act as a route of introduction of ticks and tick-borne pathogens each year from the United States into parts of Canada that are becoming climatically suitable for their endemicity (Ogden et al., 2008; Nelder et al., 2019).

Therefore, other US-endemic ticks and tick-borne pathogens that are not currently endemic to Canada may spread north. Northward expansion of the range of *Amblyomma americanum*, a vector of tularemia, *Heartland virus*, and the bacterial pathogens *Ehrlichia ewingii* and *E. chaffeensis*, as well as the suspected cause of red meat allergies (Reynolds & Elston, 2017), has been detected in the United States (Stafford et al., 2018). Multiple model-based assessments suggest that areas of Southern Canada will become climatically suitable for this tick with a warming climate (Raghavan et al., 2019; Sagurova et al., 2019). This tick, and the infectious disease and other public health issues it brings, are likely to spread into Canada in the coming decades, likely introduced by migratory birds (Gasmi et al., 2018; Nelder et al., 2019).
Table 6.3 Tick-borne pathogens affecting humans and their associated vector tick species that occur in Canada or may spread into Canada

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PATHOGEN (DISEASE IN HUMANS)</th>
<th>YEAR OF IDENTIFICATION</th>
<th>PRINCIPAL TICK VECTOR(S)</th>
<th>PRINCIPAL RESERVOIR HOST SPECIES</th>
<th>GEOGRAPHIC DISTRIBUTION*</th>
<th>NATIONALLY NOTIFIABLE</th>
<th>EVIDENCE OF ENDEMICITY IN CANADA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anaplasma phagocytophilum (human anaplasmosis)</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>Ixodes scapularis, Ixodes pacificus</td>
<td>Rodents</td>
<td>BC, AB, SK, MB, ON, QC, NB, NL, NS, PEI</td>
<td>Upper MW and NE states</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Babesia microti (human babesiosis)</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td>Ixodes scapularis</td>
<td>Mice</td>
<td>MB, ON, QC, NB, NS</td>
<td>NE and upper MW states</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borrelia burgdorferi (Lyme disease)</td>
<td>1982</td>
<td>Ixodes scapularis, Ixodes pacificus</td>
<td>Rodents</td>
<td>BC, AB, SK, MB, ON, QC, NB, NS, NL, PEI</td>
<td>NE and upper MW states</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borrelia hermsii (tick-borne relapsing fever)</td>
<td>1935</td>
<td>Ornithodoros hermsi</td>
<td>Rodents and rabbits</td>
<td>BC</td>
<td>Western states</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borrelia mayonii/ Borrelia mayonii-like? (no specific name*)</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Ixodes scapularis, Ixodes angustus</td>
<td>Rodents</td>
<td>ON, BC</td>
<td>Upper MW states: Minnesota and Wisconsin</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borrelia miyamotoi (no specific name)</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Ixodes scapularis, Ixodes pacificus</td>
<td>Mice</td>
<td>BC, AB, MB, ON, QC, NB, NS, NL, PEI</td>
<td>Upper MW, NE, and the mid-Atlantic states</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado tick fever virus (Colorado tick fever)</td>
<td>1946</td>
<td>Dermacentor andersoni</td>
<td>Golden mantled squirrels, deer mice, and rabbits</td>
<td>SK, AB</td>
<td>Western states: Colorado, Utah, Montana, Wyoming</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathogen (Disease in Humans)</td>
<td>Year of Identification</td>
<td>Principal Tick Vector(s)</td>
<td>Principal Reservoir Host Species</td>
<td>Geographic Distribution</td>
<td>Nationally Notifiable</td>
<td>Evidence of Endemicity in Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ehrlichia chaffeensis</em> (human monocytic ehrlichiosis)</td>
<td>1987</td>
<td><em>Amblyomma americanum</em></td>
<td>White-tailed deer</td>
<td>Southeastern and south-central states</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No, Yes, No, –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ehrlichia ewingii</em> (generically named Ehrlichiosis)</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td><em>Amblyomma americanum</em></td>
<td>White-tailed deer</td>
<td>Southeastern and south-central states</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>–, –, –, –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ehrlichia muris-like agent</em> (no specific name)</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td><em>Ixodes scapularis, Ixodes muris</em></td>
<td>Mice</td>
<td>MB</td>
<td>Upper MW states</td>
<td>No, Yes, –, –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Francisella tularensis</em> (tularemia)</td>
<td>1924</td>
<td><em>Dermacentor variabilis, Dermacentor andersoni, Haemaphysalis leporispalustris, Amblyomma americanum</em></td>
<td>Rabbits, hares, and rodents</td>
<td><em>Canada-wide</em></td>
<td>All states</td>
<td>Yes, Yes, Yes, Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heartland virus (no specific name)</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td><em>Amblyomma americanum</em></td>
<td>White-tailed deer</td>
<td>MW and south states</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No, Yes, –, –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lineage I Powassan virus (no specific name)</td>
<td>1963</td>
<td><em>Ixodes cookei, Ixodes marxi, Ixodes spinipalpis</em></td>
<td>Small and medium-sized woodland mammals (woodchucks)</td>
<td>ON, QC, NB, PEI</td>
<td>NE states and Great Lakes region</td>
<td>No, Yes, Yes, Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Pathogen, Year of Identification, Principal Tick Vector(s), Principal Reservoir Host(s), Geographic Distribution, Nationally Notifiable, Evidence of Endemicity in Canada

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathogen (Disease in Humans)</th>
<th>Year of Identification</th>
<th>Principal Tick Vector(s)</th>
<th>Principal Reservoir Host Species</th>
<th>Geographic Distribution</th>
<th>Nationally Notifiable</th>
<th>Evidence of Endemicity in Canada</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lineage II Powassan virus (no specific name)</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td><em>Ixodes scapularis</em>, <em>Dermacentor andersoni</em></td>
<td>Mice</td>
<td>MB, ON, NS</td>
<td>NE and upper MW states</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rickettsia rickettsii (Rocky Mountain spotted fever)</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td><em>Dermacentor variabilis</em>, <em>Dermacentor andersoni</em>, <em>Rhipicephalus sanguineus</em></td>
<td>Variety of wild mammals, including rodents</td>
<td>BC, AB, SK, ON, NS</td>
<td>Eastern, central, western, and southwestern states</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Bouchard et al., 2019

Note: * Where there is no specific disease name, diseases are named after the pathogen (e.g., Powassan virus disease)

(–) indicates no data available and/or no studies have been performed.

a. Canada: Provinces where endemic transmission is known to occur are underlined. For provinces that are not underlined, pathogen transmission cycles are unknown, and infections were detected in adventitious ticks, and/or in humans or animals most likely infected by adventitious ticks.

US: States where highest incidence rate of human cases were found.

b. Based on historical surveys in ticks in Canada, rather than recent surveys.

c. Francisella tularensis may be mechanically transmitted by a range of biting flies, but only ticks act as biological vectors.

Abbreviations: AB, Alberta; BC, British Columbia; MB, Manitoba; NB, New Brunswick; NL, Newfoundland and Labrador; NS, Nova Scotia; ON, Ontario; PEI, Prince Edward Island; QC, Quebec; SK, Saskatchewan; US, United States; NE, Northeast; MW, Midwest.
6.3.2 Zoonoses Directly Transmitted from Animals to Humans

In this section, zoonoses that can be transmitted directly from animals to humans are considered (Figure 6.6). Zoonoses transmitted in food and water are considered in Chapters 7: Water Quality, Quantity, and Security, and Chapter 8: Food Safety and Security. The risk of infection by directly transmitted zoonoses may be influenced by direct effects of climate change and weather on the survival of the pathogens, indirect effects on their host species and communities, and/or effects on contact rates between the pathogens and humans. Together, these determine the frequency of "spillover" events, that is, when pathogens are successfully transmitted from animals to humans (Altizer et al., 2013; Brierley et al., 2016), as well as the possibility of adaptive emergence of zoonoses, which then result in epidemics or pandemics affecting human populations (Ogden et al., 2017). Climate change may, therefore, drive global emergence of zoonotic infectious diseases. Directly transmitted zoonoses, mostly from wildlife, are the zoonoses that emerge and re-emerge most frequently on a global scale (Jones et al., 2008).

Climate change impacts on zoonoses in the North are expected to be greater than elsewhere in Canada, including effects on vector-, food-, and water-borne zoonoses, as well as directly transmitted zoonoses (Parkinson et al., 2014). Greater climatic impact, coupled with, in many cases, higher consumption of traditional and country foods,¹ suggest that Northern communities, particularly Northern Indigenous communities, are at greater risk of health effects (Brook et al., 2009). A further concern is that, as permafrost melts with a warming climate, pathogens that have remained dormant but viable in animal carcasses or soil, may be released into the environment and cause disease outbreaks (Revich et al., 2012). For most of the directly transmitted zoonoses discussed in this section, there is evidence of sensitivity to climate and/or weather, which raises the possibility of current and future impacts of climate change. In very few cases have there been attempts to assess such impacts. Also, for very few directly transmitted zoonoses is there evidence of changing patterns of disease or risk, but that may be due, in part, to the lack of systematic surveillance of these diseases in Canada.

¹ Traditional Inuit food, also known as country food, is an integral part of Inuit identity and culture, a significant source of nutrients, and contributes to individual and community health and well-being. It includes marine animals (e.g., walrus, seals, etc.), caribou, birds, fish, and foraged foods.
6.3.2.1 Viral Zoonoses

Globally, one of the most highly pathogenic and high-impact groups of directly transmitted viral zoonoses are avian influenza A viruses. Global epidemics of highly pathogenic (for humans) avian influenza viruses have occurred in recent decades (Goneau et al., 2018), and outbreaks have occurred in domesticated poultry in Canada, sparking fears of human cases (Skowronski et al., 2007). Disease in humans varies from mild illness to severe illness, with high case-fatality rates (Neumann, 2015). The main reservoirs of avian influenza viruses are wild birds, particularly waterfowl (i.e., swans, geese, and ducks). Influenza viruses of swine are also of great public health concern, although these viruses are now maintained mostly by domesticated swine, and effects of climate change are relatively unlikely (Schultz-Cherry et al., 2011). Pandemic influenza viruses, such as the 1918 and 2009 H1N1 viruses, emerge following recombination (a molecular process) of avian, swine, and human influenza viruses (Neumann et al., 2009). Due to the high level of threat from zoonotic and pandemic influenza viruses, there is extensive surveillance for them in wild and domesticated animals and humans, both globally and in Canada (Government of Canada, 2017; Government of Canada, 2018b), and a program of preparedness for pandemics (Government of Canada, 2019b).
Box 6.4 Climate change and coronavirus disease (COVID-19)

The coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic is a paradigm of a zoonotic infectious disease that spills over into humans and then becomes a pandemic, due to characteristics of the virus that permit efficient human-to-human transmission, and due to globalization that allows rapid international spread (Ogden et al., 2017). The causal virus, SARS-CoV-2, is likely a coronavirus of bat origin (Lau et al., 2020) that may have spilled over into humans from an intermediary animal reservoir such as pangolins (Han, 2020; Zhang et al., 2020). Contact with infected animals or animal products at a “wet market” in the city of Wuhan, Hubei province, China, some time during late 2019 has been implicated as the original spillover event (Zhang et al., 2020), although uncertainty around the origins of the virus remains. After that time, the virus likely evolved more efficient human-to-human transmissibility (Andersen et al., 2020). Despite intensive control within China (Wang et al., 2020), and travel restrictions to and from China, global spread occurred (Wu et al., 2020), and COVID-19 was declared a pandemic (WHO, 2020).

Recent climate change may have affected transmission of SARS-CoV-2 via impacts on the ecology of natural transmission cycles (see section 6.1.1 Infectious Disease Emergence and Re-Emergence) that resulted in a particularly high prevalence of infection in the wildlife captured for food or medicinal products and that facilitated spillover in the Wuhan wet market. However, there is no evidence for this at the time of writing (O'Reilly et al., 2020). There is some limited evidence of reduced transmission of SARS-CoV-2 at high temperatures (Pequeno et al., 2020), and there is speculation that climate change may result in seasonally varying transmission of SARS-CoV-2 if, and when, it becomes endemic (Kanzawa et al., 2020; Kissler et al., 2020). However, at this point in the pandemic, it appears that non-pharmaceutical health interventions are more important than physical environment in influencing viral transmission (Jüni et al., 2020).

Indirect effects of climate change on COVID-19 transmission via effects on contact rates between people and on air quality, as for other human-to-human transmitted respiratory viruses (see section 6.3.3.1 Respiratory Infections) could be expected. While the transmission of COVID-19 via the fecal–oral route is thought to be possible (Gupta et al., 2020) and viral RNA has been detected in wastewater from communities with ongoing COVID-19 transmission (Randazzo et al., 2020), there is no evidence to date that SARS-CoV-2 is a water-borne pathogen.

Despite limited evidence for direct or indirect impacts of climate change on COVID-19 transmission, further studies are needed. These studies should also take into account that impacts of COVID-19 are likely greatest among socio-economically disadvantaged individuals and communities via effects on transmission and disease severity (Ji et al., 2020; Nash & Geng, 2020).

Emergence and re-emergence of avian influenza risks to humans could be driven by a number of climate change effects on the ecology of the viruses, including bird migration patterns and changes in land use and livestock production patterns (Morin et al., 2018). Transmission of avian influenza viruses among wild birds, which often involves fecal–oral transmission via water, may be inhibited by climate warming, as the survival of the viruses is greater in cool compared to warm water (Morin et al., 2018). Consistent with this, the prevalence of infected birds in a Canadian study was higher if sampling was preceded by cold weather (Papp...
et al., 2017), and phylogenetic data suggest that influenza A viruses actually emerged following the Little Ice Age (Gatherer, 2010). However, complexity associated with the global transmission of avian influenza viruses means that, while avian influenza viruses are inherently climate-sensitive, the effects of climate change could be positive, with reduced disease transmission because of effects on environmental survival of the viruses, or negative, with increasing transmission through indirect effects of other factors (Gilbert et al., 2008; Gatherer, 2010; Morin et al., 2018).

Rabies is a zoonotic disease that represents a major worldwide health concern with more than 55,000 human deaths annually (Knobel et al., 2005). Rabies virus is capable of infecting cerebral and nervous tissues of all mammals, usually leading to behavioural changes followed by death (WHO, 2013). In Canada, rabies is associated with several wildlife species, including bats, skunks, raccoons, and foxes, that each maintain distinct viral variants circulating endemically within their populations (Rosatte, 1988; Tinline & Gregory, 2020). Human cases of rabies are rare in Canada, with only three domestically acquired cases since 2000 (Filejski, 2016), but human exposures to rabid animals followed by administration of post-exposure prophylactic treatment occur annually across the country.

While climate-driven ecological change is likely to affect the circulation of rabies in each of its respective host populations to some extent, such impacts are likely to be felt first and most significantly in the Arctic. Rabies occurs across the Arctic and is regarded as enzootic, or prevalent, in Northern Canada (north of 60 degrees north latitude) (see Chapter 2: Climate Change and Indigenous Peoples’ Health in Canada). The main reservoir host of Arctic rabies is the Arctic fox (Vulpes lagopus).

Arctic rabies is caused by a unique strain of rabies virus, referred to as the Arctic rabies virus variant, which circulates within the Arctic and sub-Arctic regions, with sporadic incursions toward more southern regions, occasionally leading to establishment of enzootic rabies in these areas (Rosatte, 1988). In the Canadian Arctic, rabies cases in domestic animals and wildlife are reported every year, and Arctic residents receive more rabies post-exposure prophylactic treatments per capita than any other population in Canada (Rosatte, 1988; Mitchell & Kandola, 2005; Aenishaenslin et al., 2014; CFIA, 2019).

Northern Canada is experiencing climate change at rates faster and greater than the global and Canadian averages (Larsen et al., 2014; Bush & Lemmen, 2019). While the long-term impacts of rapid climate warming on Arctic rabies have yet to be documented, rabies ecology and epidemiology are likely to be altered by ongoing climate-driven perturbations to Arctic ecosystems. These include fading population cycles of lemmings, a key source of food for Arctic foxes (Fuglei & Ims, 2008; Kausrud et al., 2008), reduced extent and duration of sea ice used by foxes for winter foraging and movement (Kim et al., 2016), and the northward range expansion of red foxes (Vulpes vulpes). Red foxes are both a resource competitor for Arctic foxes and competent reservoir host for the Arctic rabies variant (Rosatte, 1988; Hersteinsson & MacDonald, 1992; Gallant et al., 2012). A recent modelling study (Simon et al., 2019) suggested that rabies incidence may initially stabilize due to reduced variation in prey dynamics, with interactions between Arctic and red foxes intensifying outbreaks where these species overlap. However, in the long term, displacement of Arctic foxes by red foxes and restricted winter movements due to reduced sea ice extent may limit rabies transmission in warmer areas. Similarly, Huettmann et al. (2017) predicted a reduction of the current ecological niche of rabies virus in Alaska with climate warming.
Hantaviruses cause infections of wild rodent and insectivorous mammal populations and can cause hantavirus pulmonary syndrome, a fever followed by acute pulmonary edema and shock. There is no specific treatment for hantavirus pulmonary syndrome; the case-fatality rate is 38% in Canada (Drebot et al., 2000), where, on average, four cases are reported a year (Government of Canada, 2015). Humans become infected by contact with infected rodents or their excretions, particularly aerosolized urine or feces (Weir, 2005). In a previous report (Charron et al., 2008), the climate sensitivity of hantavirus incidence, associated with effects on rodent populations, was identified. There have been no further studies of hantavirus in Canada, and potential impacts of climate change are unexplored.

6.3.2.2 Parasitic Zoonoses

In Canada, the zoonotic protozoans Cryptosporidium, Giardia, and Toxoplasma are the most important parasites for public health, according to expert opinion, and the first two are nationally notifiable (FAO & WHO, 2014). Transmission of Cryptosporidium and Giardia spp. can be directly from animals, for example, from neonatal farm animals or in petting zoo environments. It can also occur from human to human through close contact and outbreaks in communal, caregiving environments, and through food or water. The effects of climate change on infections by these parasites will most likely occur through food- or water-borne transmission (see Chapter 7: Water Quality, Quantity, and Security, and Chapter 8: Food Safety and Security).

Currently, the human burden of disease, including neural and ocular lesions associated with visceral larval migrans (i.e., the migration of larvae through the body) due to the zoonotic ascarids (i.e., roundworms) Baylisascaris procyonis and Toxocara canis is not known in Canada, and only isolated cases and serosurveys are reported (Embil et al., 1988; Sapp et al., 2016). The reservoir hosts for these ascarids are, respectively, raccoons and domestic dogs. In Southern Canada, dogs are also competent hosts for B. procyonis (Lee et al., 2010). Toxocara canis was the most common parasite detected in the feces of dogs in shelters in a national study in Canada (Villeneuve et al., 2015). Direct transmission from dogs to humans is possible if eggs that have larvated in the environment stick to the fur of the animal, but in general T. canis is thought to be acquired mainly from eggs in the environment (Keegan & Holland, 2010).

Eggs of both ascarid parasites undergo temperature-dependent development in the environment before becoming infective for humans. Therefore, the primary mechanisms by which these parasites may be affected by climate change are through local amplification due to more rapid development and increased survival of eggs shed in the feces of definitive hosts (i.e., those in which parasite reproduction occurs), and through northward range expansion due to changes in the distribution and abundance of the canine and raccoon hosts. Eggs of T. canis are freeze-susceptible (O’Lorcain, 1995) and undergo delayed development after chilling (Azam et al., 2012), but eggs of B. procyonis can survive freezing temperatures and freeze–thaw cycles (Shafir et al., 2011). This suggests that a changing climate may have different effects on these parasites. For B. procyonis, northward expansion may follow that of the primary host, raccoons, which are currently limited to the southern parts of Canadian provinces (Naughton, 2012). For T. canis, northward range expansion and local amplification may follow increased survival and development rate of eggs in the environment. Increased circulation of both parasites in dogs, with their close relationship to people, may result in increased disease in Canadians. No studies to date have explicitly investigated effects of climate change on these parasites. However, eggs of T. canis were recently reported in dogs north of 60 degrees
north latitude in Canada for the first time (Salb et al., 2008), which may suggest northward range expansion of this species (Jenkins et al., 2011).

Until recently, the zoonotic cestode *Echinococcus multilocularis* was thought to be transmitted between wild canids and rodents only in southern parts of the Prairie Provinces and the Arctic tundra, and only one autochthonous human case had been documented in Canada (James & Boyd, 1937; Deplazes et al., 2017). Eggs of *Echinococcus* are shed in the feces of wild or domestic canids; they are immediately infective and can adhere to the host's fur. Infection can occur in humans via inadvertently consuming these eggs. Transmission can also occur via consumption of unwashed produce or drinking unfiltered surface water in heavily contaminated environments (Deplazes et al., 2017). In humans, the parasite establishes initially in the liver, and behaves like a parasitic tumour, eventually metastasizing throughout the abdomen unless aggressively surgically and medically managed. Recently, more cases of alveolar echinococcosis have been detected in both dogs and humans in Canada, and the parasite has expanded its range to include most of Western Canada (Deplazes et al., 2017) and the southern portion of Ontario (Kotwa et al., 2019). This emergence is likely linked to both the introduction of European strains of the parasite, which have now established successfully in wildlife, and increasing rates of contact between people and urban wildlife.

Possible mechanisms for the effects of climate change on this parasite include effects on egg survival, as eggs are environmentally resistant but susceptible to high temperatures and desiccation, and by stabilizing and amplifying rodent intermediate host populations, which were thought to be a limiting factor keeping this parasite in check (Rausch, 1956; Jenkins et al., 2013). Climatic variables, both temperature and precipitation, have been correlated with the prevalence of infection in definitive and intermediate hosts (i.e., hosts in which parasite reproduction does not occur) (Takeuchi-Storm et al., 2015), which may be associated with direct effects on the survival of eggs in the environment, or impacts on reservoir hosts (Mas-Coma et al., 2008). The parasite is now provincially notifiable in Alberta and Ontario. Dogs can serve as both definitive and intermediate hosts for *E. multilocularis*, and therefore regular cestocidal treatment of dogs known to consume rodent intermediate hosts may help mitigate human risk (Jenkins, 2017).

### 6.3.2.3 Bacterial Zoonoses

*Brucella* species bacteria, which are endemic to Canada and cause disease in humans, are *Brucella abortus* and *B. suis* biotype 4. *Brucella abortus* is maintained by cattle, when the disease is not prevented by control programs, and by wild ungulates, including deer and bison (Nishi et al., 2002). *Brucella suis* biotype 4 is maintained by caribou (Forbes, 1991). Brucellosis in humans causes persistent and often recurrent fever with chronic fatigue and other symptoms such as arthritis (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2019b). In animals, the main effect of infection is abortion. The main transmission route to the human population is mostly food-borne, but direct transmission from tissues of infected livestock to those working in livestock and food-processing industries is possible (Hunter et al., 2015), as is direct transmission from infected tissues of wildlife to hunters (Franco-Paredes et al., 2017). Apart from possible direct effects of climate change on the survival of *Brucella* spp. bacteria in the environment (Aune et al., 2012), and thus on animal-to-animal transmission, climate change may affect transmission through effects on wild host population dynamics (Cross et al., 2007). While brucellosis may be a climate-sensitive disease, there have been no studies to explore possible effects of climate change.
Caused by the bacterium *Bacillus anthracis*, anthrax risk in the environment is amplified by infected wild and domesticated ungulates, which suffer hemorrhagic illness as a consequence of infection. The infected animal’s blood and carcass, if and when it dies, contaminates soils with bacteria that form resistant and persistent spores (Valseth et al., 2017). Humans can acquire infection by inhaling spores, ingesting spores contaminating food or water, or by contamination of skin cuts with spores.

Manifestations in humans depend on the route of infection — cutaneous manifestations after the infection of cuts, gastrointestinal manifestations after ingestion, and respiratory manifestations after inhalation. There is high case fatality (approximately 25%) in untreated people (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2017). High temperatures have been associated with outbreaks in wild and domesticated animals in northern countries, including Canada, and enhanced environmental suitability for anthrax transmission and *B. anthracis* survival in the North and in South-Central Canada is anticipated with a warming climate (Walsh et al., 2018). The persistent nature of *B. anthracis* spores in soils allows weather-driven outbreaks to occur, and these may become more common with climate change due to combined effects of warming and flooding (Maksimovic et al., 2017). Both anthrax and brucellosis are reportable diseases when they occur in livestock in Canada (Government of Canada, 2019c).

Q fever is caused by the bacterium *Coxiella burnetii*. This bacterium is transmitted to humans mainly by airborne pathways from infected ruminant farms, particularly sheep and goat farms. In humans, the infection causes fever as well as pneumonia, infections of the liver, and chronic infections of the heart, and has a high case-fatality rate without treatment (up to 25%) (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2019c). In ruminants, there are few clinical manifestations beyond abortion if the animal is infected in late pregnancy. Infected animals excrete the bacteria in milk, urine, feces, and placenta and birthing fluids (Plummer et al., 2018). The bacteria persist in the environment; while farm workers are those most at risk, large outbreaks have occurred in Europe associated with long-distance airborne transmission from affected farms (Schneeberger et al., 2014). Q fever is not nationally notifiable in Canada but is thought to be particularly prevalent in farms in Quebec (Dolcè et al., 2003), although the reason for this is unknown. Greater incidence has been associated with increased precipitation in the Caribbean, suggesting sensitivity to weather (Eldin et al., 2015; Sivabalan et al., 2017). The dispersal of the bacteria is increased with wind speed and low humidity, but reduced precipitation associated with climate change in some regions may reduce the concentration of this airborne pathogen (van Leuken et al., 2016). There are no studies exploring possible effects of climate change.

### 6.3.3 Infectious Diseases Directly Transmitted from Human to Human

This section focuses on the subset of infections that are transmitted directly from human to human. Direct transmission can be defined as an infectious agent being transferred between people by direct contact or droplet spread rather than via food, water, or arthropod vectors. Direct contact occurs through skin-to-skin contact, whereas droplet spread refers to spray with relatively large, short-range aerosols produced by sneezing, coughing, or talking (Dicker et al., 2012). Directly transmitted infections cause a wide spectrum of illnesses, and many human population characteristics, such as changing demographics and human behaviour, patterns of connectivity, and compliance with public health recommendations (e.g., immunization against influenza), influence the burden of these diseases within the population (Heesterbeek et al., 2015).
The basic reproduction number ($R_0$) (i.e., the number of secondary cases created by a primary disease case in a totally susceptible population and in the absence of intervention), is an important metric of communicability (Pandemic Influenza Outbreak Research Modelling Team, 2009). For directly transmitted pathogens, $R_0$ is a function of duration of infectiousness, the effective contact rate of an infected individual, and the “infectivity” of an individual case (Pandemic Influenza Outbreak Research Modelling Team, 2009). If any of these factors are modified directly or indirectly by climate change, the result will be a change in disease epidemiology. Increases in $R_0$ can increase the burden of endemic diseases and can make disease outbreak and emergence events more likely. In fact, the impacts of climate change on human habitation, agricultural productivity, conflict, livelihoods, and political stability will likely result in the displacement of populations and changes in mixing patterns that will have knock-on effects on communicable diseases; the link between human conflict, displacement of populations, and large-scale migrations and communicable diseases has been repeatedly demonstrated in recent and distant history (Rabaan, 2019). Such second- and third-order impacts of climate change on communicable diseases may dwarf the first-order changes in epidemiology due to impacts on hosts and pathogens.

There have been many studies identifying impacts of weather and climate on infectious diseases transmitted directly from human to human, but there is a dearth of studies that have attempted to explicitly investigate the effects of climate change. Only effects for hand-foot-and-mouth disease (HFMD) have been studied to date, and there have been no efforts to attribute any changes in disease incidence to recent climate change.

A possible indicator of climate-related modification of the epidemiology of directly transmitted communicable diseases is their seasonality. The seasonality of such infections is well recognized and has worked its way into the vernacular (e.g., “flu season”). While mechanisms underlying the seasonality of communicable diseases remain poorly understood (Fisman, 2007; Greer et al., 2008; Fisman, 2012), a growing body of literature has sought to distinguish the contribution of environmental factors to disease transmission, from other seasonally varying factors, such as seasonal human behaviour (school attendance, holidays, indoor crowding, etc.) and neuroendocrine factors (melatonin, vitamin D, etc.) (Dowell, 2001). Many viral and bacterial pathogens, particularly those that cause respiratory and gastrointestinal infection, display marked seasonality in temperate climates such as Canada’s, which suggests that environmental factors are important determinants of disease risk and environmental change may result in important changes in disease epidemiology.

### 6.3.3.1 Respiratory Infections

Respiratory infections are the greatest contributor to the overall burden of disease in the world (Ferkol & Schraufnagel, 2014) and were the third leading cause of death in 2015 worldwide (Global Burden of Disease, 2015; Mortality and Causes of Death Collaborators, 2016). Added to this now is COVID-19, but, to date, there is no evidence of the influence of weather and climate on the emergence and spread of this disease. Communicable respiratory diseases include diseases caused by both viral and bacterial pathogens. For many viral respiratory diseases, such as influenza, a broad spectrum of illness is common; most people suffer mild to moderate illness that is self-limited. However, a proportion of the population will experience more severe illness, or secondary bacterial infection, requiring hospitalization. It is estimated that, in Canada, an average of 12,200 hospitalizations (Schanzer et al., 2006; Schanzer et al., 2008; Schanzer et al., 2013a; Schanzer et al., 2013b) and approximately 3500 deaths are attributable to influenza annually (Schanzer et al., 2013b). Severe
respiratory infections are most common at the extremes of age (Ampofo et al., 2006; Schanzer et al., 2006; Schanzer et al., 2008; Schanzer et al., 2013a; Schanzer et al., 2013b).

In temperate regions, viral respiratory infections, such as influenza and respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) can occur throughout the year, but seasonal epidemics peak in winter months (Thompson et al., 2006; Rohr et al., 2011). The predictable seasonality of wintertime viral respiratory infections has led investigators to evaluate whether environmental conditions could influence the time of onset and/or severity of seasonal influenza epidemics. There is some evidence that decreased absolute humidity (Shaman et al., 2010), decreased temperature (Earn et al., 2012; Skog et al., 2014), or both (Chattopadhyay et al., 2018) may trigger the onset of influenza season in temperate countries. Lower temperatures and decreased absolute humidity may also increase the transmissibility of influenza and influenza-like illness during epidemics (Tang et al., 2010; Roussel et al., 2016; Caini et al., 2018).

The severity of influenza seasons is diminished in the presence of strong El Niño–Southern Oscillation conditions (Viboud et al., 2004; Choi et al., 2006), providing further evidence of climate sensitivity. This thermal inversion in the Pacific Ocean is associated with unusually warm and rainy conditions, similar to those projected under climate change scenarios (Fisman et al., 2016). Given the apparent increase in influenza transmission in cold conditions, it might be expected that the effect of climate change would be to diminish the burden of illness associated with viral respiratory infections. However, climatic effects on influenza epidemiology may depend on time scale. An analysis of climate and past US influenza epidemic seasons from 1997 to 2013 found that mild winters were associated with early and severe epidemics in the subsequent year (Towers et al., 2013). Diminished influenza transmission in a given season may result in a larger population of susceptible individuals, and consequently a more explosive influenza epidemic, in the next season. Furthermore, it has been suggested that increased global temperatures may increase the rate of influenza diversification, which could diminish cross-protective immunity and give rise to more frequent influenza pandemics (Gatherer, 2010).

Several important bacterial respiratory human pathogens display stereotyped seasonality. Both Streptococcus pneumoniae and Neisseria meningitidis infections are more common in winter, which may be driven in part by increased risk due to prior influenza and/or respiratory syncytial virus infection (Tuite et al., 2010; Kuster et al., 2011). Direct environmental impacts on these infections are also possible. Invasive pneumococcal infections tend to be strongly seasonal in temperate climates, which leads to causal correlations with cold weather (Cilloniz et al., 2017). However, it has been suggested that diminished wintertime UV radiation, which is less likely to be influenced by climate change than temperature or precipitation patterns, may account for this wintertime seasonality (White et al., 2009). More recent modelling work suggests that pneumococcal seasonality may be complex and driven by interplays between population contact patterns, wintertime weather, and predisposing viral respiratory infections (Domenech de Cellès et al., 2019). Meningococcal infections have historically displayed highly seasonal occurrence in sub-Saharan Africa, with epidemic onset linked to the timing of the Harmattan winds (Sultan et al., 2005). In temperate regions, invasive meningococcal disease incidence often peaks in late winter or early spring (Rosenstein et al., 2001; Lindsay et al., 2002; Brachman & Abrutyn, 2009). However, links to environmental factors, while identified in individual regions, are inconsistent across regions (Collier, 1992; Lindsay et al., 2002; Sultan et al., 2005; Michele et al., 2006; Kinlin et al., 2009). Increased frequency of extreme weather events, including heavy rainfall events, are projected under climate change, and increases in respiratory illness have been associated with exposure
to floodwaters (De Man et al., 2016). However, these illnesses are likely due to environmentally derived pathogens (e.g., Legionella, as described below) and water-borne pathogens, which are addressed elsewhere in this report (see Chapter 7: Water Quality, Quantity, and Security).

### 6.3.3.2 Gastrointestinal Infections

As with respiratory viral infections, gastrointestinal tract viral infections display highly seasonal occurrence. Enteroviral infections, including echovirus, coxsackie A and B viruses, and, before its control, poliovirus are associated with outbreaks in late summer and early autumn in temperate countries (Moore, 1982). Norovirus and rotavirus commonly occur in winter (Greer et al., 2009; Patel et al., 2013). Enteroviruses are usually transmitted via the fecal–oral route and can cause recognizable disease entities, including HFMD, neuroinvasive infections (e.g., acute flaccid paralysis), and non-specific syndromes, including viral meningitis, encephalitis, myocarditis, gastroenteritis, and conjunctivitis. Norovirus and rotavirus are associated with gastroenteritis, and norovirus in particular can cause large point-source outbreaks, including those in closed environments such as cruise ships and long-term care facilities (Rajagopalan & Yoshikawa, 2016; Mouchtouri et al., 2017).

Mechanisms underlying the seasonality of enteroviral infections are not fully understood. However, Dowell (2001) pointed out that the seasonality of polio becomes more evident with distance from the equator, and is absent peri-equatorially, implying that seasonal changes in weather are key drivers of enteroviral seasonality. It has been suggested that temperature modulates both viral reproduction and particle survival, and that humidity alters viral attachments to water droplets, facilitating transmission (Patz et al., 2005; Wong et al., 2010). Consistent with these hypotheses, elevated temperatures have been associated with increased HFMD risk in several Asian countries (e.g., Hii et al., 2011; Onozuka & Hashizume, 2011), at lags of one to two weeks. While some studies suggest that the relationship between temperature and disease risk is linear (Hii et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2016), or associated with high maximum daily temperatures (Sumi et al., 2017), others suggest more complex non-linear relationships between risk and temperature (Kim et al., 2016; Liao et al., 2016; Zhao et al., 2018).

Increased relative humidity and rainfall have also been associated with elevated HFMD risk in most studies (Hii et al., 2011; Onozuka & Hashizume, 2011; Zhao et al., 2017); humidity may modulate the effects of temperature (Kim et al., 2016; Sumi et al., 2017). It has been suggested that extreme precipitation events may enhance the risk of HFMD (Cheng et al., 2014; Yu et al., 2019). As noted above, the combination of increased temperature and heavy rains is associated with El Niño–Southern Oscillation, which are associated with near-term increases in HFMD in China (Lin et al., 2013). These observations have allowed parameterization of mathematical models that suggest a 5% increase in HFMD in China by 2090, which would represent a substantial increase in disease burden (Zhao et al., 2018). If such estimates are applicable to enteroviral infections generally, this could also present an increase in currently rare but severe manifestations of enteroviral disease, such as neuroinvasive infections and myocarditis.

Increased temperatures and rainy, humid weather associated with climate change could therefore increase the incidence of endemic enteroviral disease worldwide as well as the frequency of outbreaks, and spur the emergence of enteroviral strains in new geographic regions by elevating $R_0$ beyond the threshold for
sustained person-to-person transmission. Indeed, with the recent emergence of acute flaccid paralysis due to non-polio enteroviruses in North America, including Canada, such a phenomenon may currently be occurring (Hassel et al., 2015; Holm-Hansen et al., 2016; Suresh et al., 2018). Candidate vaccines against enterovirus EV-71, which is an important agent of HFMD and strongly associated with neuroinvasive disease, are in development but are not yet available for use in North America (Zhu et al., 2014).

In contrast to enteroviruses, both noroviruses and rotavirus strains are associated with wintertime gastroenteritis in North America (Greer et al., 2009; Patel et al., 2013). In Spain, unusually cold weather has been associated with increased risk of rotavirus-related hospitalization (Morral-Puigmal et al., 2018). While rotavirus is highly transmissible via person-to-person spread, environmental reservoirs (particularly water) may be important for spread, and lower water temperatures delay environmental decay of the virus (see Chapter 7: Water Quality, Quantity, and Security). Cold, dry conditions have also been associated with norovirus activity in England and Wales (Lopman et al., 2009). Consequently, climate warming in Canada could reduce the incidence of some of these viral pathogens.

### 6.3.4 Infectious Diseases Acquired by Inhalation from Environmental Sources

Perhaps the best-known infectious diseases of humans that have environmental sources other than infected humans, animal reservoirs, or arthropod vectors, are legionellosis and cryptococcosis. These types of infections are caused by bacteria and fungi that persist in soils and water and that infect humans by inhalation after becoming aerosolized or airborne in dust. For all such infections, while there have been studies to identify influences of weather and climate on their occurrence, there has been little assessment of the possible impacts of climate change. Details of the pathogens, the diseases they cause, and their sensitivity to weather and climate are provided in the main text, while the possible effects of climate change are described in Box 6.5, Box 6.6, Box 6.7, Box 6.8, and Box 6.9.

#### 6.3.4.1 Legionellosis

Infection with *Legionella* bacteria causes legionellosis, which presents as Legionnaires’ disease, Pontiac fever, or as an asymptomatic infection. Legionnaires’ disease manifests as pneumonia (Stout & Yu, 1997). Illness is often severe and can progress to respiratory and multi-organ failure, with a case-fatality rate of 11% to 25% (Marston et al., 1994; Stout & Yu, 1997). Pontiac fever is milder and characterized by fever, fatigue, myalgia, and headache, with or without cough (Tossa et al., 2006), and patients recover within two to five days without treatment. Individuals at increased risk of legionellosis include older adults, males, smokers, and those with underlying conditions, including immunosuppression, chronic lung disease, diabetes, and cancer (Marston et al., 1994; Stout & Yu, 1997).

*Legionella* bacteria occur naturally in freshwater and soil and multiply in environmental protozoa. They become a human health risk when they multiply in water between 25°C and 42°C, become aerosolized, and are inhaled into the lungs (Fields et al., 2002; WHO, 2007). Infection with *Legionella pneumophila* (which causes more than 70% of human infections) occurs through inhalation of aerosols from manufactured...
freshwater systems in homes or in the community (e.g., cooling towers, hot tubs, shower heads, fountains) (WHO, 2007). Transmission also occurs in care facilities due to a combination of inadequate design and/or maintenance of building infrastructure and risk factors present in the residents or patients (Silk et al., 2013). Infection with some species occurs through direct contact with, or via aerosolization of, contaminated soil or compost (WHO, 2007; Picard-Masson et al., 2016).

As an environmental bacterium, *Legionella* is sensitive to climatic factors. Legionellosis shows a marked seasonality, with higher incidence in the late summer and early fall (Simmering et al., 2017; Alarcon Falconi et al., 2018). It also shows regional differences, which may be associated with climatic factors (Beauté et al., 2016; Simmering et al., 2017). The most consistent and strongest climate association has been reported between legionellosis and precipitation. Several studies in North America, Asia, and Europe have found an increase in the risk of sporadic legionellosis following rainfall events (Fisman et al., 2005; Hicks et al., 2007; Garcia-Vidal et al., 2013). The increase occurs two to 11 days after rainfall (Fisman et al., 2005; Chen et al., 2014b; Beauté et al., 2016) and has been associated with a 2.5 to 2.6-fold increase in incidence per 5 mm to 1 cm of rain (Hicks et al., 2007; Chen et al., 2014b) or 2.1 to 2.5-fold compared to periods with no rain (Fisman et al., 2005; Beauté et al., 2016). This may be because precipitation increases runoff and adds nutrients and protozoa to water sources, which can increase *Legionella* replication; it can also increase turbidity and organic matter, which decreases the effectiveness of water disinfection (Fisman et al., 2005).

In temperate zones, warmer temperatures have also been found to increase the risk of legionellosis (Fisman et al., 2005; Hicks et al., 2007; Conza et al., 2013; Halsby et al., 2014; Beauté et al., 2016; Simmering et al., 2017). An increase of 1°C has been associated with a 2.8% to 7% increase in risk (Fisman et al., 2005; Hicks et al., 2007; Conza et al., 2013), and the risk occurs after a lag of three to nine weeks (Halsby et al., 2014; Beauté et al., 2016). However, one study (Beauté et al., 2016) suggested that risk may decrease at higher temperature ranges (above 20°C). Finally, higher relative humidity and vapour pressure have been associated with legionellosis risk (Fisman et al., 2005; Conza et al., 2013; Gleason et al., 2016; Simmering et al., 2017). Higher humidity has been positively associated with legionellosis cases (Gleason et al., 2016). Simmering et al. (2017) found that temperature and humidity interact; the highest risk was between 16°C and 27°C and a relative humidity of more than 70%. In summary, the risk of legionellosis seems to increase following warmer, wetter weather (Chen et al., 2014a; Halsby et al., 2014), as warm, wet environments support *Legionella* growth and aerosolization.
Box 6.5 Effects of, and evidence for, climate change on the risk of infections from legionellosis

Expected effects of climate change:

No studies have directly assessed the impacts of climate change on legionellosis. However, given its known sensitivity to climate and weather, effects of climate change could be expected. An increased frequency of heavy precipitation events could increase the risk, while increases in temperature may increase outdoor activities by people and the use of aerosolizing water devices such as fountains and air conditioners, which are sources of Legionella infection (Sakamoto et al., 2009; Beauté et al., 2016). However, at a certain temperature threshold, it appears that risk may peak and begin to decrease (20°C) (Beauté et al., 2016). Extreme temperatures, combined with dry summers for some Canadian regions, may lead to a reduced risk of legionellosis.

Evidence of effects of climate change:

Legionellosis incidence has increased in many countries in the last two decades (Neil & Berkelman, 2008; Beauté, 2017; European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control, 2018). In Canada, the incidence increased more than six-fold, from 0.13 per 100,000 population in 2004 to 0.87 per 100,000 population in 2016 (Government of Canada, 2019d). While it is possible that recent climate change may have been a driver, other plausible causes include the widespread use of more sensitive diagnostic tests, an aging infrastructure, and an aging population (Beauté, 2017; Alarcon Falconi et al., 2018; European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control, 2018).

6.3.4.2 Cryptococcus gattii Infection

Cryptococcus gattii is an environmental fungus that causes infection in humans and multiple animal species (Chen et al., 2014b). Cryptococcus gattii occurs in soil and tree debris, but it can also be found in air, freshwater and saltwater, and on vehicles and fomites — objects or materials such as clothes, utensils, and furniture that can carry infection (Kidd et al., 2007a). Its spores are aerosolized from soil or trees and transported to other sites or inhaled by humans and animals (Kidd et al., 2007b). In humans, spores are inhaled into the lungs, where they cause pneumonia or pulmonary nodules. They may be disseminated through the bloodstream, particularly to the central nervous system, where they cause meningitis or brain nodules (Chen et al., 2014b) (Figure 6.7). The case-fatality rate is high, at 23% (Phillips et al., 2015). Individuals at higher risk of infection include those over 50 years of age, smokers, and people with chronic lung disease or immunocompromizing conditions or treatments (MacDougall et al., 2011).
Figure 6.7 Biology of *Cryptococcus gatti*. *Cryptococcus gatti* lives as a yeast in the environment (1), usually in association with certain trees or soil around trees. Humans and animals can become infected with *C. gatti* after inhaling airborne, dehydrated yeast cells or spores (2), which travel through the respiratory tract and enter the lungs of the host (3). The small size of the yeast and/or spores allows them to become lodged deep in the lung tissue. The environment inside the host body signals *C. gatti* to transform into its yeast form, and the cells grow thick capsules to protect themselves (4). The yeasts then divide and multiply by budding. After infecting the lungs, *C. gatti* cells can travel through the bloodstream (5) — either on their own or within macrophage cells — to infect other areas of the body, typically the central nervous system (6). Source: US CDC, 2020.

*Cryptococcus gatti* is found worldwide. It first emerged in Canada on Vancouver Island in 1999, when it caused an outbreak among healthy animals and humans (Fyfe et al., 2008). Since then, incidence increased to reach a steady state of 0.2 to 0.5 cases per 100,000 population in British Columbia (BCCDC, 2019). Since 2004, the fungus has been found in the environment and in humans and animals on the British Columbia mainland and neighbouring Washington and Oregon states (MacDougall et al., 2007). It is unclear whether the range of *C. gatti* increased or whether *C. gatti* was already present in these regions (Roe et al., 2018). Very few locally acquired Canadian cases have been reported outside British Columbia, except for two human cases in Quebec in 2008 and 2015 (St-Pierre et al., 2018) and one case in a deer in Nova Scotia in 2014 (Overy et al., 2016).

As an environmental fungus, *C. gatti* is sensitive to climatic factors. Humidity, temperature, precipitation, evaporation, and solar radiation all affect the occurrence of *C. gatti* in the environment (Granados & Castañeda, 2006; Kidd et al., 2007b). An ecological niche model conducted in British Columbia described areas with environmental conditions supporting *C. gatti* establishment as those with low elevations, with a
daily January average temperature of above 0°C, and within two coastal climatic zones of British Columbia, where winters are mild and wet and summers are warm and dry (Mak et al., 2010). Environmental sampling of *C. gattii* in British Columbia found that air sample concentrations were greatest during summer months with warm, dry conditions, and low relative humidity (Kidd et al., 2007b).

The response to climatic and other environmental factors has been inconsistent globally and may depend, in part, on where *C. gattii* is sampled (i.e., air, soil, or trees), on strain type, and on other factors. Wind increases aerosolization from the soil and enables spread (Uejio et al., 2015). Heavy rainfall or humidity may pull spores out of the air (Kidd et al., 2007b), although in most regions, *C. gattii* is more likely to be isolated from trees during the rainy season, suggesting it is more abundant at this time (Uejio et al., 2015). In British Columbia, higher temperatures decreased isolation from trees and concentration in soil, but in other parts of the world, the highest isolation frequency was during very high temperatures (Uejio et al., 2015). *Cryptococcus gattii* spores can withstand high levels of solar radiation, so summer conditions with high temperatures and high isolation are unlikely to preclude *C. gattii* survival (Rosas & Casadevall, 2006).

**Box 6.6 Effects of, and evidence for, climate change on the risk of infections from *Cryptococcus gattii***

**Expected effects of climate change:**

Warming and increasing precipitation may increase the geographic range of *C. gattii* and the population exposed in Canada, given the association of this fungus with above-freezing temperatures and humid habitats. However, in areas where temperature becomes very high, the concentration of spores in the environment and risk to humans may decrease. Heavy precipitation events could decrease aerosolized spread and air concentrations, decreasing human risk. To date, no studies have directly assessed climate change impacts on *C. gattii* (Acheson et al., 2018).

**Evidence of effects of climate change:**

Because it was first described in tropical regions, it was thought that *C. gattii* was found only in tropical or subtropical climates (Kwon-Chung & Bennett, 1984) and that its emergence in British Columbia may have been associated with climate change. It is now believed that *C. gattii* originated in South America (Souto et al., 2016) and became widely distributed to multiple tropical and temperate areas in the years before and after it first appeared on Vancouver Island (Acheson et al., 2019). It is also now believed that *C. gattii* was introduced simultaneously into multiple areas of the northwestern coast of North America 60 to 100 years ago via human agency (Roe et al., 2018). Why it emerged as a human and animal pathogen in North America in the late 1990s remains unclear, but both climate and land-use changes are possible causes (Acheson et al., 2018). The more recent appearance of cases in Quebec and Nova Scotia could also have been facilitated by a changing climate, following introductions by human agency (Roe et al., 2018).
6.3.4.3 Blastomycosis

_Blastomyces dermatitidis_ is a dimorphic fungus found in moist soil and decaying vegetation. Transmission occurs from the environment to humans and other animals through aerosolization and inhalation (Castillo et al., 2016). Spores inhaled into the lungs cause pulmonary blastomycosis, characterized by cough, fever, and shortness of breath (McBride et al., 2017). The yeast form may be disseminated through the blood to other organs, and cutaneous infection can occur from direct inoculation (Castillo et al., 2016). The case-fatality rate is high, at 5% to 20%, which may be associated with delayed diagnosis, particularly in less endemic areas (Crampton et al., 2002; Dalcin & Ahmed, 2015). The infection is treated with antifungals (Castillo et al., 2016). The incubation period is typically one to three months and cases mainly occur in immunocompromised individuals, smokers, and those with underlying diseases who are at higher risk of infection and mortality (Crampton et al., 2002; Dalcin & Ahmed, 2015; Castillo et al., 2016; McBride et al., 2017). Individuals are at increased risk of exposure through outdoor occupations and activities such as hunting and camping (Crampton et al., 2002). Most cases are sporadic, but activities that disrupt soil (e.g., construction, deforestation, outdoor activities) and exposure to riverbanks have been associated with point-source outbreaks (Baumgardner & Burdick, 1991; Proctor et al., 2002; Azar et al., 2015).

_Blastomyces dermatitidis_ is endemic in certain parts of Canada, including Southern Saskatchewan, Manitoba, Ontario, and Southern Quebec (Crampton et al., 2002; Litvinov et al., 2013; Lohrenz et al., 2018). Blastomycosis is only reportable in Ontario and Manitoba and may be under-recognized in other parts of the country. It is hyperendemic in Northwest Ontario, characterized by persistent, high levels of disease occurrence. The Kenora, Ontario, area has the highest reported rates worldwide, with 35 hospitalizations per 100,000 population per year (Litvinjenko & Lunny, 2017; Brown et al., 2018). This endemic region is contiguous with endemic regions in US states that border the Mississippi and Ohio rivers, the Great Lakes, and St. Lawrence Seaway (McBride et al., 2017).

_Blastomyces_ species are environmental fungi and therefore depend on climatic conditions for growth and dispersal. Specific ecological factors are poorly understood, in part because the organism has rarely been recovered from the environment, but moist soil near waterways and lakes seem to be the preferred niche for _Blastomyces_ species fungi (Castillo et al., 2016). Soil disruption facilitates aerosolization (Baumgardner, 1997). It is hypothesized that proximity to waterways and drought followed by increased precipitation may help expose spores and facilitate their dispersal (McDonough et al., 1976; McTaggart et al., 2016). Although the peak onset for pulmonary infection is in the fall and winter, reflecting exposure during warmer weather (Morris et al., 2006; Light et al., 2008; Dalcin & Ahmed, 2015; Brown et al., 2018), few studies have specifically assessed the role of climatic factors and varying results have been reported. Baumgardner et al. (2011) found an association between total precipitation, temperature (low average temperatures during the season of infection, but high maximum temperatures the previous season), and canine cases. Seitz et al. (2015) found a higher risk of blastomycosis hospitalization with lower maximum temperature. Proctor et al. (2002) investigated an outbreak that followed a prolonged period of drought. Similarly, Pfister et al. (2011) found that moderate-to-severe drought, followed by above-normal precipitation and warming of yard waste, was associated with an outbreak in Wisconsin. Wind may be a factor, as some outbreaks have been associated with excavation dust (Baumgardner et al., 2011) or disruption of decomposing yard waste (Pfister et al., 2011). A Wisconsin ecological niche model found proximity to waterways and a summer vegetation index to be most predictive of the location of human and animal blastomycosis cases; bioclimatic factors did not play a significant role (Reed et al., 2008).
Box 6.7 Effects of, and evidence for, climate change on the risk of infections from blastomycosis

Expected effects of climate change:

Wetter winters and drier summers could provide conditions more conducive to the growth and dispersal of Blastomyces in currently endemic areas or new regions of Canada (Greer et al., 2008). However, there have been no studies to date to directly assess the impacts of climate change on Blastomyces infection.

Evidence of effects of climate change:

Blastomyces have been present in Canada for several decades and potentially longer (Kepron et al., 1972; Kane et al., 1983; St-Germain et al., 1993). In Northwestern Ontario, the incidence of blastomycosis increased between the late 1990s and the period from 2006 to 2015, from 17 cases to 35 cases per 100,000 (Litvinjenko & Lunny, 2017; Brown et al., 2018). The estimated incidence in Quebec also increased between 1988 and 2010, from approximately 4.4 to 10.3 cases per 100,000 (Litvinov et al., 2013). In Saskatchewan and Manitoba, animal cases increased from 1999 to 2001, but incidence plateaued from 2004 to 2010 (Davies et al., 2013). Climate change may have been a cause, but factors such as increased awareness and diagnosis, increased human exposure to endemic areas, changes in fungal pathogenicity or niche and land-use changes may have been involved. Interestingly, blastomycosis increased in Central Canada, and C. gattii emerged in Western Canada around the same time — in the late 1990s.

6.3.4.4 Histoplasmosis

Histoplasmosis is caused by the dimorphic fungus Histoplasma capsulatum. Spores grow in moist soil rich in bat or bird guano along waterways and in caves. Disruption of soil due to excavation and construction leads to aerosol generation and the risk of inhalation of spores. Infection develops seven to 14 days after exposure. It is often asymptomatic or causes self-limited illness with fever, headache, weakness, chest pain, and cough. It can lead to extrapulmonary infection and also cause more severe pulmonary infection in individuals with underlying lung conditions or immunocompromised individuals (Kauffman, 2007). Histoplasma capsulatum is endemic along the St. Lawrence and Great Lakes River Drainage Basins in Quebec and Ontario, the Ohio and Mississippi River valleys in the United States, and along waterways in Asia and South America. In Canada, the highest rate of diagnosed illness is in Quebec. In one study, 58 cases were identified as having occurred in Canada over three years (1992 to 1994), of which 72% were from Quebec (Nicolle et al., 1998). Cases in Alberta were first identified in 2003, and since then a small number are reported every year (Anderson et al., 2006; Alberta Health, 2018). The fungus is climatically sensitive, preferring moderate temperatures (18°C to 28°C), constant humidity, and low light (Teixeira et al., 2016).
Box 6.8 Effects of, and evidence for, climate change on the risk of infections from histoplasmosis

Expected effects of climate change:
Warming temperatures may increase the risk of histoplasmosis and other fungal diseases (Garcia-Solache & Casadevall, 2010), although there have been no formal studies to assess the effects of climate change.

Evidence of effects of climate change:
A change in geographic distribution of human outbreaks has been reported in the United States, with suitable environments expanding into the Missouri River basin, which may be due to recent climate change or changes to land use (Maiga et al., 2018). However, Ontario has not seen a change in incidence between 1990 and 2015 (Brown et al., 2018).

6.3.4.5 Coccidioidomycosis

Coccidioidomycosis is caused by Coccidioides species fungi, which are found in arid soil, including C. immitis found in California and C. posadasii found elsewhere (Maves & Crum-Cianflone, 2012). Spores are released into the air when soil is disturbed and can be inhaled by humans, causing coccidioidomycosis, also known as valley fever. The disease has an incubation period of one to three weeks, and many individuals remain asymptomatic or have mild symptoms. Approximately 40% develop pulmonary infection with cough, chest pain, dyspnea, and fever. This is usually self-limited and resolves within a few weeks. Disseminated infection occurs in 5% of individuals and leads to diffuse and chronic pulmonary illness, meningitis, or infection in other organs (Maves & Crum-Cianflone, 2012). This severe form requires prolonged antifungal treatment. Pregnant women and people with impaired cellular immunity, due to HIV infection or organ transplantation, are at higher risk of severe illness. Reactivation of latent disease is also possible (Maves & Crum-Cianflone, 2012). The geographic range of the fungus includes parts of South and Central America, Mexico, and the Southwestern United States (Maves & Crum-Cianflone, 2012). Certain areas of California and Arizona have the highest reported rates worldwide. Soil disruption, including construction, archaeological digs, earthquakes, and wind storms in endemic desert areas is the main source of exposure (Maves & Crum-Cianflone, 2012).

Coccidioides spp. are affected by climatic factors (Nguyen et al., 2013), requiring moisture to grow in soil and then a dry period to mature into spores that can be aerosolized (the "grow and blow" hypothesis) (Comrie & Glueck, 2007). The seasonal spike in human cases in the United States occurs mainly in the fall, after a period of rainy months followed by warm dry months. There is also a cyclical pattern, with incidence peaks approximately every two to five years, believed to be associated with droughts followed by rainy weather (Park et al., 2005; Tamerius & Comrie, 2011; Gorris et al., 2018). Warmer temperatures are associated with higher incidence in humans (Gorris et al., 2011), and incidence increases following large windstorms (Tong et al., 2017). However, regions with high mean annual precipitation or very moist soil (such as coastal regions) have a low incidence (Gorris et al., 2018).
Box 6.9 Effects of, and evidence for, climate change on the risk of infections from coccidioidomycosis

**Expected effects of climate change:**

Warmer temperatures could expand the range of *Coccidioides* into Canada, particularly into drier areas of Southern British Columbia. Wet winters and dry summers could provide conditions more conducive to growth and dispersal of *Coccidioides* in Canada. However, an earlier onset of the rainy season or heavier annual precipitation could decrease the risk. To date, there is no evidence of local transmission in Canada, although South-Central Washington state shares some ecological and climate characteristics with the Southern Okanagan Valley in British Columbia, 400 km to the north (Environmental Protection Agency, 2018). No studies have yet directly assessed the possible impacts of climate change on *Coccidioides* infection.

**Evidence of effects of climate change:**

In endemic areas of the United States, the incidence has increased from the early 1990s to recent years (Kirkland & Fierer, 1996; Sunenshine et al., 2007; Vugia et al., 2009). This has been attributed to population growth and construction in endemic areas, increased numbers of immunosuppressed persons, and improved awareness and diagnostic methods. However, there is evidence for northward range expansion toward the Canadian border, which may be related to climatic events; specifically, extensive droughts may have contributed to range expansion. It may also have been caused by increased human exposure due to increased population density (Litvintseva et al., 2015). In 2010–2011, three residents of South-Central Washington state who did not travel to known endemic areas were diagnosed with coccidioidomycosis (Marsden-Haug et al., 2013). Since then, 13 more locally acquired cases have been reported (Washington State Department of Health, 2021). Soil samples collected in 2010 and again in 2014 from this area identified *Coccidioides* with an identical genotype to index case samples (Marsden-Haug et al., 2013; Litvintseva et al., 2015). This is the most northerly location where *Coccidioides* has been found, again supporting the idea of northward range expansion.

### 6.4 Adaptation to Reduce Health Risks

Canada already has a robust public health system, which is networked with international public health organizations. Together, these systems contribute to infectious disease preparedness, surveillance, and monitoring and outbreak response. As infectious disease risks continue to grow in a changing climate, these activities will be increasingly important for adaptation success. Two streams of public health activities in the context of emerging and re-emerging infectious diseases are upstream preparedness and outbreak response. Within these streams, there are three key public health activities: risk assessment, to identify current and future risk; surveillance, for known risks or possible future emerging risks identified in risk assessments; and interventions, to prevent and control infectious diseases. These three activities are discussed individually, but, in practice, they should be highly connected; risk assessments guide rational implementation of surveillance activities, which, in turn, trigger interventions to protect the public when a hazard is detected (Figure 6.8).
Actions to protect health need to be robust and coordinated to address the increased risks from infectious diseases due to climate change. Furthermore, as many of the threats of emerging and re-emerging infectious diseases involve directly transmitted or vector-borne zoonoses, a One Health approach, which considers the interactions among human, animal, and environmental factors in disease transmission, emergence, and re-emergence, is required to develop effective responses (Ogden et al., 2017; Ogden et al., 2019).

In general, Canada and other high-income countries could be considered well-placed to respond to emerging and re-emerging infectious diseases through robust international institutions for surveillance and response, such as the WHO’s International Health Regulations (IHR) (WHO, 2019c), the Global Outbreak Alert and Response Network (WHO, 2019d), as well as the health care system and public health institutions in Canada. The public health system in Canada centres around the Pan-Canadian Public Health Network (Pan-Canadian Public Health Network, 2016), a federal, provincial, and territorial partnership, with federal coordination by PHAC. This system is supported by high-quality academic institutions and industries in Canada that can facilitate innovation and responses to threats from emerging infectious diseases. Canada is a leader in the One Health approach in federal, provincial, and academic institutions, and the One Health approach is applied systematically in addressing these disease threats (Government of Canada, 2013; INSPQ, 2018a; CPHAZ, 2019; GREZOSP, 2019; Ogden et al., 2019). Despite this capacity, there are many unknowns ahead, and, as seen with COVID-19, even the best-prepared public health systems can be severely challenged by emerging infectious diseases.

Figure 6.8 Two streams of public health actions to manage emerging and re-emerging infectious diseases. The blue boxes indicate outbreak response, and the orange boxes indicate upstream preparedness. Examples of activities that may be components of outbreak management are shown in the green boxes. Dashed lines indicate how outbreak response may be triggered by early detection of disease risk using an upstream preparedness approach.
Since the last national Canadian climate change and health assessment (Berry et al., 2014a), PHAC enhanced upstream preparedness for emerging and re-emerging vector-borne disease risks through an integrated program of adaptation, which comprises (Figure 6.8):

- prioritization of disease risks for study, now formalized in a multi-criteria decision analysis (MCDA) method (Ng et al., 2019);
- modelling studies to identify climate-disease risk associations and to project where and when diseases may emerge with climate change (Ng et al., 2019);
- implementation of surveillance programs to validate models and track emerging vector-borne diseases (Drebot, 2015; Ogden et al., 2014c); and
- development of synthesized knowledge (Hierlihy et al., 2019), disease forecasting (Ripoche et al., 2019), and risk communication tools (Figure 6.9) to support local efforts to adapt to increasing risks from vector-borne diseases.

### 6.4.1 Risk Assessment to Identify Current and Future Risks

#### 6.4.1.1 Responsive Rapid Risk Assessments

Responsive rapid risk assessments (RRAs) are qualitative risk assessments developed in response to an immediate disease emergence event within Canada, or a perceived risk, such as an international disease event or threat, that requires rapid decision making. Responsive RRAs are developed using the scientific literature and knowledge of a disease at the moment in time that the disease emerges or re-emerges; they may be updated as knowledge increases in the light of the disease emergence event. They generally pre-date, and aim to guide, implementation of surveillance and/or intervention activities. Examples include those conducted in response to the threat of Zika virus in Canada nationally (Government of Canada, 2016) and provincially (INSPQ, 2016), as well as those developed by the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC) in response to a number of disease emergence events (ECDC, 2019). The World Health Organization has developed a protocol for their preparation (WHO, 2019e), which forms the basis for RRAs used in Canada. The capacity to perform RRAs in the face of disease emergence events is essential for public health officials in Canada to respond to unforeseen events, including those driven by climate change.

#### 6.4.1.2 Predicting Future Populations at Risk, and Establishing Early Warning Systems, Through Quantitative Model-Based Risk Assessments

Quantitative risk assessments aim to predict where and when infectious diseases may emerge or re-emerge with a precision useful to public health officials in their efforts to prepare and respond to disease emergence with needed policies or programs. Risk assessments can be obtained using mathematical models that recreate the essential components of vector life cycles or disease transmission cycles, if there are sufficient quantitative data to calibrate these types of models. If not, statistical or ecological niche models can be used,
providing there are surveillance data that include, at a minimum, information on presence, but preferably data on presence/absence and abundance, to calibrate them. In either case, the objective of the models is to assess where and when risk from climate-sensitive infectious diseases may change (i.e., they provide “early warning” of future disease risks). Predictive models are used for three main purposes (Ogden & Gachon, 2019):

- short-range forecasting of disease risks based on weather;
- long-range or seasonal forecasting based on climate; and
- projections of long-term effects of climate change on disease risks.

Models are developed by first identifying key associations between the presence of vectors and/or pathogens and weather (for short-term forecasting) or climatic variables, while accounting for important climate-independent determinants of their presence, which may include other environmental changes such as land-use change (Patz et al., 2003). Validation of deduced associations against real data is important to provide confidence in the use of model outputs. Once associations between climate and the occurrence of pathogens/vectors have been identified and quantified, the impacts of projected climate change, obtained from regional and global climate models, on pathogen/vector distributions and risk can be estimated.

Forecasting provides early warning of impending weather-driven disease outbreaks. This can include short-range forecasting on a time scale of days to weeks and long-range forecasting on a time scale of several months that can be used to implement prevention and control activities (Morin et al., 2018). The model-based assessments of the effects of climate change provide assessments of where and when diseases may emerge or re-emerge in coming decades, which are used in a number of contexts (Ogden et al., 2014c):

- high-level national policy decisions on whether and when public health preparedness for emerging risks may be needed (Centre for Food-borne, Environmental and Zoonotic Infectious Diseases, 2017);
- provincial, territorial, and municipal assessments of vulnerability to the health impacts of climate change and of needed adaptation measures (Berry et al., 2014b); and
- design and implementation of surveillance (Ogden et al., 2014).

As discussed earlier in this chapter, a number of model-based risk assessments have been conducted for Canada, including those for Lyme disease (Ogden et al., 2006; Ogden et al., 2014), relapsing fever (Sage et al., 2017), *Dermacentor variabilis* ticks (Minigan et al., 2018), exotic mosquito-borne diseases and their vectors (Ogden et al., 2014a; Ng et al., 2017), and WNV (Hongoh et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2013).

### 6.4.2 Prioritization

Since they were identified as an important methodological need by Charron et al. (2008) and by the WHO (WHO, 2019g), a number of MCDA methods have been explored to prioritize diseases for attention, based upon their public health importance, including their risk of emergence or re-emergence due to climate change (Ng & Sargeant, 2012; Cox et al., 2013). These types of methods have been adopted and occasionally adapted...
for disease prioritization at both federal (Otten et al., 2019) and provincial levels (INSPQ, 2018b), although not as yet by all jurisdictions in Canada.

### 6.4.3 Surveillance for Known Risks or Possible Future Risks

There are two types of surveillance to identify emerging infectious diseases to inform outbreak management. The first is vigilance for international disease emergence events, and the second is disease-specific surveillance, to be implemented once a disease is recognized as a significant public health risk for Canadians. The possible impact of climate change on increasing infectious disease emergence and re-emergence events globally, and on increasing dispersion of the disease agents through travel, trade, and migration, increases the need for effective vigilance for emerging infectious disease events in other countries. International efforts that support this type of surveillance include the IHR, under which all WHO member states are obliged to undertake surveillance for disease emergence events and to report any that are identified. In addition, as part of the global One Health initiative, the Food and Agriculture Organization, World Organization for Animal Health, and the WHO collaborate in the Global Early Warning System, which is a warning system for emerging disease threats at the human–animal–ecosystems interface. International “passive” surveillance programs include the GeoSentinel Surveillance Network, an international network of voluntarily participating medical clinics, and surveillance systems that scrutinize publicly available web content for signals of potential emerging threats. These include the Program for Monitoring Emerging Diseases (ProMed), HealthMap, and MediSys, as well as Canada’s own Global Public Health Intelligence Network (GPHIN), operated by PHAC (Ogden et al., 2017).

In Canada, a number of methods are available and used for the surveillance of endemic and emerging infectious diseases, to follow trends in incidence, identify the spatiotemporal distribution and spread of cases (including clusters) and risk, and identify risk factors in the affected population. The standard method for acquiring data on human disease cases nationally is through the National Notifiable Disease Surveillance System, coordinated by PHAC (Government of Canada, 2019e). Some diseases that are not nationally notifiable may be notifiable at provincial and territorial levels. These notifiable diseases are identified using clinical and laboratory case definition criteria, and emergence or re-emergence (nationally or internationally) is one criterion. Other criteria for adding diseases to the list include diseases under international surveillance, considerations of incidence in Canada, severity, communicability, potential for outbreaks, socio-economic burden, preventability, risk perception, and necessity for public health response (Public Health Agency of Canada, 2009).

Other methods of capturing information on possible human disease cases during emergence events include syndromic surveillance; for example, the use of real-time data from pharmacies on sales of influenza remedies were used to track the evolution of pandemic H1N1 influenza in Canada in 2009 (Muchaal et al., 2015). Another method is passive laboratory-based surveillance. In response to possible increasing risks from arboviruses in Canada, PHAC’s National Microbiology Laboratory instigated surveillance for human cases of non-WNV arboviruses by routinely taking sera submitted for WNV testing that were WNV-negative, and testing them for a panel of endemic and exotic arboviruses (Drebot, 2015). The Pan-Canadian Public Health Network has the capacity to instigate national human case surveillance for limited periods during disease emergence events. It recently used this capacity in response to the COVID-19 pandemic and to the the Zika outbreak in
Central and South America in 2015–2016, which infected more than 500 Canadian travellers (Government of Canada, 2019f).

There has been much effort in Canada to use surveillance in sentinel animals and vectors to detect risks from emerging vector-borne zoonoses, particularly WNV, Lyme disease, and other *Ixodes* species-transmitted pathogens, as a method of early warning of the spread of emerging diseases, and, for WNV, of impending outbreaks (Thomas-Bachli et al., 2015). For vector-borne diseases, vector surveillance includes active surveillance to capture vector mosquitoes, which are then tested for mosquito-borne pathogens, particularly WNV, which is carried out routinely in many jurisdictions in Canada (Government of Canada, 2019a). It also includes the collection of ticks by drag sampling, the capture of tick hosts, and the testing of ticks and hosts for tick-borne pathogens (Ogden et al., 2014). Passive surveillance for ticks, involving submission of ticks from the patients of medical and veterinary clinics, has been a key surveillance activity in Canada, providing a uniquely long dataset that has supported attribution of *I. scapularis* range expansion in Canada to impacts of climate change (Leighton et al., 2012).

For both mosquitoes and ticks, the surveillance for vectors is capable of capturing multiple species of vectors and pathogens. Mosquito surveillance targeting WNV has identified incursions of exotic *Aedes* spp. vectors in Southern Ontario, which are vectors of dengue virus and Zika virus (Windsor-Essex County Health Unit, 2019a, Windsor-Essex County Health Unit, 2019b). Tick surveillance is undertaken predominantly by two methods: passive tick surveillance, involving submission of ticks that members of the public found on themselves or their pets via participating veterinary and medical practices; and active tick surveillance, through collecting host-seeking ticks by dragging a flannel across the ground (drag sampling), or through examining captured wild rodents (Ogden et al., 2014c). These forms of tick surveillance were originally designed to identify risk from Lyme disease, but can detect risk from other species of tick vectors of disease (Gabriele-Rivet et al., 2015; Nelder et al., 2019). Ticks from both types of surveillance are routinely tested for a wide range of pathogens, in addition to the bacteria causing Lyme disease (Dibernardo et al., 2014). In Canada, most ticks collected through surveillance are currently identified to species in provincial laboratories, and then tested for pathogens at the National Microbiology Laboratory. However, this method will not be sustainable once ticks become more widespread, given the expected and observed (Gasmi et al., 2018) large increase in the number of tick submissions across Canada. New and less resource-intensive surveillance systems are needed to protect Canadians from the increasing risks of these diseases.

A citizen science project called eTick was launched in 2014. It is a web-based platform that allows members of the public to submit images of ticks for species identification by an entomologist (eTick, 2019). It greatly expands the potential geographical coverage of tick monitoring, in addition to providing an opportunity to deliver timely public health information directly to the population exposed to ticks. It allows for the real-time monitoring and mapping of various tick species, including changes in distribution. The program is currently available in Quebec, Ontario, and New Brunswick. In Manitoba, an image-identification system called the Tick Checker, which is similar to eTick, was implemented in 2017 (Government of Manitoba, 2019a). This effort is part of Manitoba’s black-legged tick passive surveillance program, which identifies locations where new tick populations and Lyme disease risk may be emerging, before confirmation by active tick surveillance (Government of Manitoba, 2018).
Livestock health organizations, such as the Canadian Food Inspection Agency, track some zoonoses in sentinel animals (for example, EEEV in horses) when they fall within the mandate of these organizations (Government of Canada, 2018c). Wildlife health organizations, such as the Canadian Wildlife Health Cooperative, assist in tracking some wildlife-borne zoonoses in sentinel animals (CWHC, 2019).

### 6.4.4 Interventions to Prevent and Control Disease Incidence

International responses to emerging infectious disease outbreaks are mandated under the IHR through the Global Outbreak Alert and Response Network (GOARN) (WHO, 2019d). This network brings together technical and operational resources from relevant institutions in WHO member states, including surveillance initiatives, networks of laboratories, United Nations organizations (e.g., UNICEF, UNHCR), the Red Cross, and international humanitarian non-governmental organizations, such as Médecins sans frontières. The network has the capacity to assemble and deploy technical teams to countries affected by outbreaks to assist with coordination, clinical disease management, epidemiological analysis, as well as logistics and communications that are needed to control outbreaks. PHAC is the lead for Canada’s extensive participation in GOARN. The agency was created in 2004, in part to ensure and enhance national coordination of responses to emerging infectious diseases through the Pan-Canadian Public Health Network and to participate in the IHR and GOARN.

A key capacity for reducing risks to Canadians from outbreaks is the health care system and its ability to identify human disease cases and treat them. Diagnosis and treatment of cases reduces transmission and contributes to controlling outbreaks. However, this requires vigilance by front-line health care workers; in some outbreaks, health care facilities can become a focus for transmission, as occurred during the SARS outbreak in Canada (Varia et al., 2003). Increasing antimicrobial and antiviral drug resistance challenge the capacity of health care systems to treat emerging diseases (WHO, 2019f).

Canada’s technological capacity to prevent and control infectious diseases is well developed. The Federal/Provincial/Territorial Canadian Public Health Laboratory Network, supported by PHAC’s National Microbiology Laboratory, which is one of only seven level-4 containment facilities in North America, has the capacity to develop, improve, and implement diagnostic tests for emerging infectious diseases such as Zika virus (Safronetz et al., 2017). The global network of public health organizations and pharmaceutical industries are capable of developing vaccines in response to outbreaks (Carlsen & Glenton, 2016; Henao-Restrepo et al., 2017). The WHO has developed a list of priority pathogens for which vaccines are urgently needed; several of these diseases are zoonoses with the potential for person-to-person spread, and emergence risk may be enhanced by climate change. However, the time it takes to develop vaccines, and to assess them for safety and efficacy to ensure their public acceptability (Carlsen & Glenton, 2016), emphasizes the need for upstream preparedness rather than simply relying on vaccine development to manage outbreaks. While there is much capacity for vaccine discovery in Canada (Plummer & Jones, 2017), the COVID-19 pandemic has highlighted the lack of Canadian capacity for vaccine manufacture.

Other technological adaptations are important for current and future vector-borne disease control in Canada. For mosquito-borne diseases, such as WNV, mosquito control methods are effective mainstay methods; these include killing larvae in their aquatic habitat (larviciding) using non-chemical biological control agents...
such *Bacillus thuringiensis israelensis*, and killing adult mosquitoes (adulticiding) using chemical sprays such as deltamethrin (Reisen & Brault, 2007). For tick-borne diseases, such as Lyme disease, vector control methods, such as area-wide spraying with acaricides, remain unlicensed, and thus unavailable, or at an experimental stage of development in Canada (Ogden et al., 2015). Personal protection measures remain the most effective of control of vector-borne diseases, and these include insect repellents, which prevent tick bites, insecticide (permethrin)-treated clothing, and prompt removal of feeding ticks from the body to prevent transmission of *B. burgdorferi* (Ogden et al., 2015). For these measures to be effective, the public needs to understand the risks, perceive them to be worth acting on, know what protective actions to take, and be willing to take them. In turn, this requires effective communications from public health organizations at all levels, which is an increasingly difficult task because misinformation, particularly from the internet, is an increasing problem (Greenberg et al., 2017). As well as communicating information about personal disease protection in Canada, information for travellers will become increasingly important. This will help prevent them from introducing infections upon returning to Canada, particularly exotic vector-borne diseases, which may be increasingly likely to be autochthonously transmitted in this country under climate change (Berrang-Ford et al., 2009).

**6.4.5 Adaptation Challenges for Communities and Members of Society at Increased Risk**

Vulnerability to infectious disease health risks related to climate change is complex and determined by a number of factors that interact to influence an individual’s exposure, sensitivity, and adaptive capacity. While all Canadians are vulnerable to climate change, the experiences of impacts and risks are not uniform, and some individuals and communities will be disproportionately affected (see Chapter 9: Climate Change and Health Equity). Existing health inequities, and variations in the status of determinants of health, in combination with exposure (e.g., geographic location) and genetic and biological factors, can increase or decrease climate change vulnerability. There are existing knowledge gaps regarding the combination of variables that enhance vulnerability to infectious disease risks related to climate change. However, it is expected that individuals with determinants of ill health (e.g., low income, living in substandard housing, food-insecure) are more likely to experience disproportionate impacts and have limited ability to take protective measures. For example, in general, poorer health status is associated with lower socio-economic status, which, in turn, is associated with higher rates of unhealthy behaviours and dietary habits (Hajizadeh et al., 2016) that lead to higher rates of chronic diseases (Roberts et al., 2015) and can increase health risks associated with infectious diseases related to climate change.

In Canada, First Nations, Inuit, and Métis peoples experience lasting, systemic health inequities, which are associated with a legacy of colonization and intergenerational trauma (PHAC, 2019). They experience significantly higher rates of infectious diseases compared to non-Indigenous populations, which increases their risk of climate change-related infectious diseases (Adekoya et al., 2015). While greater sensitivity to infectious diseases, which may be due to genetic factors or high rates of chronic co-morbidities (Badawi et al., 2018), have been observed (e.g., genetic susceptibility to H1N1 influenza), existing health inequities, such as limited availability and accessibility of acceptable health care services, overcrowded housing, and lack of culturally relevant disease-prevention information (National Collaborating Centre for Aboriginal Health,
2016) can compound vulnerability (see Chapter 9: Climate Change and Health Equity). In addition, First Nations, Inuit, and Métis peoples may be at increased risk of exposure due to a strong reliance on traditionally harvested foods and land-based activities, such as hunting. For example, outbreaks of trichinellosis have been associated with the consumption of marine mammals in Arctic regions (Yansouni et al., 2016), and a rise in the abundance of mosquitoes, ticks, and other biting insects that have the potential to transmit new vector-borne diseases is of increasing concern (see Chapter 2: Climate Change and Indigenous Peoples’ Health in Canada). Geographic location may also increase exposure; for example, risk of exposure to Arctic fox rabies is higher for Arctic communities.

Policies to address these underlying causes of vulnerability are generally not within the mandate of public health organizations (Adler & Newman, 2002); however, there is increasing recognition in the public health field that climate change will exacerbate health inequities (Friel, 2019). Efforts to strengthen determinants of health should be combined with climate change and health activities (e.g., adaptation measures) (see Chapter 9: Climate Change and Health Equity).

6.4.6 Adaptation Gaps and Opportunities

6.4.6.1 Adaptation Gaps

Prioritizing adaptation options to manage infectious disease risks from climate change in Canada should take into consideration multiple criteria, including the immediacy and level of the risks, the technical viability of the options, human and financial resources, compatibility with current policies, and other constraints (Ebi et al., 2006a; Ebi et al., 2006b). For many of the infectious disease risks associated with climate change discussed in this chapter, surveillance, monitoring, and disease control will only be successful with local action, so enabling local public health and health care systems to undertake these activities will be important in enhancing resilience to these threats. In a review of national-level adaptation planning for climate change impacts on infectious diseases in 14 Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development member nations, a number of limitations to current planning were observed. These included negligible consideration of the needs of population groups that experience disproportionate impacts, limited engagement at local government levels to assess health risks, and inadequate logistics and support related to funding, timelines for assessments, and development of adaptation plans (Panic & Ford, 2013). The report highlighted that these limitations need to be addressed in Canadian adaptation plans. Post-pandemic evaluation of Canadian public health responses to COVID-19 will likely be highly informative of the current adaptive capacity gaps.

In addition, adaptation to climate-related infectious diseases is constrained by existing research gaps. For many climate-related infectious diseases, there is a lack of knowledge of their ecology, little surveillance data for calibration of statistical/ecological niche models and for validation of predictive models, and a lack of long-term monitoring that is required to attribute changes in disease risk to climate change (Ebi et al., 2017). Effective control of tick-borne diseases in the environment is particularly difficult, and research is needed in this field. Knowledge and research gaps are being identified using scoping and systematic reviews about specific vector-borne disease risks prioritized by MCDA methods (Otten et al., 2019).
A significant challenge in protecting the health of Canadians is that, globally, the capacity to control vectors is diminishing because of increasing resistance against insecticides. However, techniques such as the introduction of genetically modified mosquitoes that control populations by producing non-viable offspring, and mosquitoes carrying Wolbachia bacteria in their guts, rendering them incompetent as vectors, are a focus of research. These techniques may become available to public health officials in the near future.

6.4.6.2 Adaptation Opportunities

Existing information on the ecology and epidemiology of vector-borne diseases that threaten Canadians (Corrin et al., 2018), as well as prevention and control methods and their efficacy (Hierlihy et al., 2019), are being provided to public health officials at all levels of government to assist in developing response plans. The expected increased epidemic behaviour of mosquito-borne diseases such as WNV and EEEV means that weather-based forecasting of disease risk, as recommended by the IPCC, would be helpful for use in early warning systems. Much progress has been made to develop methods for weather-based forecasting for WNV, but implementation in Canadian public health programs will require further work (Ogden et al., 2019).

The increasing possibility of autochthonous transmission of exotic diseases poses a challenge for public health activities and the Canadian health care system. Since exotic diseases have been absent in Canada, health professionals have screened them out as possible diagnoses in patients without a history of travel to well-known endemic areas. An increase in modelling of disease risks will enhance preparedness for disease emergence events, and greater surveillance of new non-endemic infectious diseases among patients seeking care would be prudent.

Similarly, enhanced surveillance is required for vector-borne diseases that are currently exotic to North America but could be carried into Canada by travellers, those that are absent from Canada but could expand northward into Canada from the United States, and those that are Canada-endemic and may re-emerge in the form of outbreaks. Education of health care providers about these possible new emerging diseases in Canada will be important for early diagnosis and treatment from the perspective of affected individuals, but also for early detection of outbreaks from a public health perspective. Citizen-based approaches to surveillance, such as the eTick program (eTick, 2019), are also an increasingly recognized opportunity. These approaches could also be enhanced by Indigenous knowledge and observation (Tomaselli et al., 2017; Henri et al., 2018).

There are opportunities to employ “big data” and technologies such as artificial intelligence (AI) that help analyze big data to address growing risks to health from infectious diseases. The first big data source that is being used in this regard is modern genomics. Whole genome sequencing (WGS) is now mainstreamed in Canadian enteric disease surveillance through PulseNet, as it is in the United States and Europe. PulseNet allows for more sensitive detection of disease clusters and outbreaks and greater capacity to reliably attribute sources of infection (Gilmour et al., 2013). The immense size of the data generated by WGS means that complex bioinformatics analysis methods have had to be developed for timely analysis of the data (IRIDA, 2019). Application of WGS to other applications in infectious disease diagnosis and surveillance, and further development of molecular epidemiology to make full use of the data, will continue and will support more robust efforts to tackle these risks. Metagenomic and meta-barcoding methods, which allow the identification of the range of species present in complex mixed-species samples, lend themselves to widened
surveillance of mosquitoes and mosquito-borne diseases, including exotic mosquitoes and pathogens. Through these methods, the range of mosquito species and mosquito-borne pathogens, rather than simply WNV, is identified in mosquito-trap captures obtained during mosquito surveillance. These methods may also revolutionize the detection of pathogens in other environmental DNA samples from drinking and recreational water and from soil.

The second big data source is web media and social media, obtained by web crawling methods (Mukhi et al., 2016). This source can provide early signals of disease emergence events to enhance management efforts by organizations such as GPHIN. The third big data source is Earth observation data obtained by satellites. Earth observation data provide proxies for environmental data such as weather, climate, habitats, and land use, which can be used in risk modelling to identify where there are risks of emergence and re-emergence of environmentally sensitive diseases (Ceccato et al., 2018). There are many examples of Earth observation data being used to identify disease risk (particularly Lyme disease) in Canada, in the form of static risk maps (Figure 6.9). Earth observation data are becoming increasingly “big” due to an increase in the numbers and types of satellites, with data at increasingly fine spatial resolution. In the near future, a higher frequency of images may allow for real-time identification of risks from vector-borne diseases such as WNV. At least theoretically, Earth observation data, synthesized by risk modelling to produce risk information could replace surveillance as a way to trigger public health responses well in advance of human cases of disease (Ogden et al., 2019).
There has been much work done to identify and quantify the effects of weather on mosquito vectors of WNV and on WNV risk in Canada (see section 6.3.1.3 Canada-Endemic Mosquito-Borne Diseases), which would serve as a basis for developing forecasting of WNV outbreaks. Combining weather data and Earth observation data on habitat in predictive models in geographic information systems would allow forecasting at high spatiotemporal resolution.

Many of the challenges posed by emerging vectors and diseases that threaten public health in the context of climate change are shared by other disciplines, such as agriculture (including both plant and animal health), environment and biodiversity management, and natural resources, where species invasions (infectious or otherwise) will likely be driven by climate change, among other factors. Collaboration on risk assessment, surveillance, and management activities through a robust One Health approach may convey significant advantages over the siloed management of sector-specific risks (Ogden et al., 2019). This will require more
systematic collaboration between disciplines and government departments, who are all dealing with the emergence of threats to health (human, animal, and environment) associated with climate change.

6.5 Knowledge Gaps

Existing knowledge gaps related to the impact of climate change on infectious diseases in Canada hinder development of local and regional climate change and health vulnerability assessments and adaptation plans. They also thwart the ability of public health officials to detect infectious disease emergence and re-emergence, and to prevent and control such emergence. The key gaps are described below.

Greater knowledge is needed of the ecology and epidemiology of infectious diseases and arthropod vectors to support development of risk assessments and early warning systems. In addition, systematic surveillance of human cases, arthropod vectors, infected sentinel animals, and environmental samples, as well as use of citizen science methods, at useful spatiotemporal scales is needed to identify emerging diseases and vectors. While this is happening already to some extent, surveillance methods, such as metagenomics, that detect multiple, possibly emerging pathogens and vectors, are needed to move from surveillance targeting a single disease to programs that address broad ranges of pathogens and vectors that threaten Canadians. Infectious disease diagnostic methods and algorithms need to be developed so that diseases currently considered exotic to Canada are detected more readily in Canadians who have not travelled to countries where these diseases are historically known to occur. Surveillance should be long-term to support attribution of the effects of climate change.

There is a wide range of infectious diseases for which sensitivity to weather and climate is known, yet, for many of these, the possible impacts of climate change have not been assessed. In Canada, assessments that have been completed have focused on vector-borne diseases. The methods used for vector-borne diseases need to be applied to a wider range of infectious diseases, including human-to-human-transmitted diseases, and wind/airborne infectious diseases from environmental sources.

To attribute emergence and re-emergence of infectious diseases in Canada to climate change, information is required that supports analysis of statistical strength of association, consistency among studies, specificity of effects of climate, temporally appropriate timescales of climate change and disease emergence, biological gradient (greater effects of greater changes in climate), and plausibility (Hill, 1965).

There need to be greater linkages between the public health efforts to understand and respond to infectious and chronic diseases. It is increasingly recognized that infectious diseases can result in chronic illnesses (O’Connor et al., 2006), and that infectious diseases are more severe in those affected by chronic illnesses (Badawi et al., 2018). In addition, socio-economic status affects vulnerability to both infectious and chronic diseases as well as perceptions of risk, and knowledge of and willingness to use protective measures (Bouchard et al., 2018). The changing age demographic in Canada, as in other high-income countries, coupled with increasing incidence of chronic diseases in these populations (Dye, 2014), and the anticipated effects of climate change on both infectious and chronic diseases, mean that public health officials and
researchers need to understand, consider, and respond to the impacts of climate change on these diseases in a coordinated fashion.

There are considerable gaps in Canada's capacity to prevent and control vector-borne diseases. These gaps include a limited knowledge of a range of effective measures to control vectors in the environment and for people to protect themselves, as well as a lack of established protocols for vector-borne disease control programs for front-line public health responders. The need for innovation in prevention and control is highlighted by the increasing global challenges of antimicrobial resistance and resistance to insecticides.

Validation of early warning systems (Morin et al., 2018) is needed for those infectious diseases, such as mosquito-borne diseases, that may become more epidemic with climate change and that threaten Canada with rapid spread following introduction, as happened with WNV. In addition, Canadian communities at municipal and provincial or territorial levels require comprehensive assessments of vulnerability to the effects of climate change on all aspects of health, such as heat-related illnesses and deaths, chronic diseases, and risks from infectious diseases. These assessments are the first step to reducing future disease risks, as well as identifying knowledge and public health system gaps that need filling by investment and/or research (see Chapter 10: Health Sector Adaptation and Resilience).

Addressing existing knowledge gaps to support effective adaptation requires direct, well-planned, collaboration with public health and health end users (Nyström et al., 2018). Collaborations, such as that established between PHAC and Université de Montréal in their Groupe de recherche en épidémiologie des zoonoses et santé publique, would accelerate capacity to innovate and respond to emerging disease threats (GREZOSP, 2019). However, such collaborations require long-term investment; alignment of goals and objectives between partners; mutual skill, respect and trust; and geographical proximity to succeed (Rycroft-Malone et al., 2015).

6.6 Conclusion

Since the first comprehensive Canadian assessment of climate change and health vulnerability and adaptation was published in 2008, there has been considerable effort to assess risks from emerging diseases (particularly vector-borne diseases), implement surveillance, and disseminate information on effective prevention and control to public health professionals and the public. Since that time, the tick vector of Lyme disease has spread into Canada, as projected, and carried with it the rapid emergence of Lyme and other tick-borne diseases. EEEV has also expanded its range into Canada, an outbreak of WNV occurred in Eastern Canada, and the mosquito species Ae. albopictus has become established in Southern Ontario. These events demonstrate increasing risks of northward spread of vectors and vector-borne diseases from the United States and of weather-driven epidemics of endemic mosquito-borne diseases, as identified in the 2008 assessment. They also highlight the reality of vector-borne disease emergence and re-emergence driven by climate change.
The emergence of, first, chikungunya virus infection and, then, Zika virus in the Americas has also increased concern among public health officials in and outside Canada of the risks associated with the spread of exotic diseases from far away and their introduction and endemic transmission. Whether these disease emergence events were driven by climate change, in whole or in part, has been the subject of debate (Paz & Semenza, 2016). Either way, the identification in Southern Ontario of populations of *Ae. albopictus*, a vector of both these diseases, means that the possibility of autochthonous transmission of exotic diseases in Canada is now more than speculation. Evidence presented in this chapter suggests that the most important exotic vector-borne diseases that could emerge in Canada by long-distance dispersal include dengue and Rift Valley fever. In addition, although plague and directly transmitted zoonoses such as hantavirus were identified as climate-sensitive diseases in the 2008 assessment, there have been no major emergence or re-emergence events associated with these diseases since that time. However, there have also been no efforts to further explore possible future effects of climate change on these pathogens.

Climate change and weather may increase risks from human-to-human-transmitted infectious diseases and airborne infectious diseases from environmental sources. Outbreaks or emergence events of these climate-sensitive diseases, such as acute flaccid paralysis and blastomycosis, have occurred in Canada or North America generally (Trudel et al., 2014; Elrick et al., 2019). Therefore, more research on the possible effects of climate change on these diseases is warranted.

Similar to other high-income countries, the adaptive capacity in Canada to manage emerging and re-emerging infectious disease risks is considered strong. This is due to the combined contribution of international and national public health systems, as well as robust health care services and technological capacity. Nevertheless, knowledge gaps and gaps in public health activities remain. These include the need for further risk assessments of the effects of climate change on infectious diseases; more systematic vulnerability assessments by municipalities, provinces, and territories; enhancements to surveillance, including long-term surveillance programs to monitor effects of climate change; and greater capacity for prevention and control. These gaps can be filled only by increased research and investment in public health responses, more direct collaboration between public health end users and academic researchers, and application of multidisciplinary One Health approaches.
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